



MASSACHUSETTS

# DROUGHT MANAGEMENT PLAN

September 2019

Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs  
100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900  
Boston, MA 02114



Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Rd, Box 1496  
Framingham, MA 01701



## Acknowledgements

The Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) would like to thank the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency and in particular, the staff from EEA, Department of Conservation and Recreation - Office of Water Resources, Department of Environmental Protection, and Department of Fish and Game for their invaluable technical and policy input on the Plan. EEA would also like to extend its gratitude to all the federal agencies who provided key technical expertise, to members of the public who reviewed, commented and made suggestions on various sections of the Plan, and finally to the Drought Management Task Force and the Massachusetts Water Resources Commission who provided invaluable feedback, input and final approval of the Plan.

*September 2019*

Governor Charlie Baker, Secretary Kathleen A. Theoharides, Secretary Thomas Turco

Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs  
100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900  
Boston, MA 02114

Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  
400 Worcester Rd, Box 1496  
Framingham, MA 01701

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Section 1: Introduction.....</b>                           | <b>7</b>  |
| 1.1 Purpose of the Plan .....                                 | 7         |
| 1.2 Scope and Applicability .....                             | 7         |
| 1.3 Background .....  | 8         |
| 1.3.1 Massachusetts’ Climate - Past, Present and Future ..... | 8         |
| 1.3.2 Drought Impacts .....                                   | 9         |
| 1.3.3 History of Drought in Massachusetts .....               | 9         |
| 1.3.4 History of the Drought Plan .....                       | 10        |
| <b>Section 2: Authority and Coordination .....</b>            | <b>10</b> |
| 2.1 EEA and MEMA .....  | 10        |
| 2.2 Drought Management Task Force .....                       | 11        |
| 2.2.1 Composition.....  | 11        |
| 2.2.2 Purpose and Responsibilities .....                      | 12        |
| 2.3 Drought Management Mission Group .....                    | 13        |
| 2.4 State and Federal Support Agencies .....                  | 14        |
| <b>Section 3: Drought Assessment and Determination .....</b>  | <b>14</b> |
| 3.1 Drought Levels .....                                      | 14        |
| 3.2 Drought Regions.....                                      | 14        |
| 3.3 Critical Information Requirements .....                   | 16        |
| 3.4 Drought Indices .....                                     | 17        |
| 3.4.1 Methods for Calculating Indices .....                   | 18        |
| 3.4.2 Precipitation.....                                      | 19        |
| 3.4.3 Streamflow.....   | 21        |
| 3.4.4 Groundwater .....                                       | 22        |
| 3.4.5 Lakes and Impoundments .....                            | 24        |
| 3.4.7 Evapotranspiration .....                                | 26        |
| 3.4.8 Additional Information .....                            | 27        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Section 4: Process of Determining Drought Status.....                                   | <b>28</b> |
| 4.1 DMTF Deliberation and Drought Recommendation .....                                  | 28        |
| 4.2 Drought Declaration.....  | 29        |
| 4.3 End of Drought .....  | 29        |
| <b>Section 5: Drought Communication .....</b>   | <b>29</b> |
| 5.1 Communication Platforms .....   | 29        |
| 5.2 Communication with State and Local Entities .....                                   | 30        |
| 5.2.1 EEA and MEMA Responsibilities .....   | 30        |
| 5.2.2 Other State Agencies’ Responsibilities .....                                      | 31        |
| 5.3 Communicating with the Public.....  | 31        |
| 5.4 Communicating with the Media .....  | 32        |
| 5.5 Emergency Alert and Notification.....   | 32        |
| 5.6 Notification of the End of Drought Conditions .....                                 | 33        |
| <b>Section 6: Summary of Responsibilities by State Agency .....</b>                     | <b>33</b> |
| 6.1 Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) .....                    | 33        |
| 6.2 Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA).....                               | 33        |
| 6.3 Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR).....                                | 34        |
| 6.4 Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP).....                               | 34        |
| 6.5 Department of Agricultural Resources (DAR) .....                                    | 35        |
| 6.6 Department of Fish and Game (DFG).....  | 36        |
| 6.7 Department of Public Health (DPH) .....   | 37        |
| 6.8 Department of Public Utilities (DPU) .....  | 37        |
| <b>Section 7: Drought Preparedness and Response Actions of State Agencies.....</b>      | <b>37</b> |
| 7.1 State Agency Drought Preparedness Actions .....                                     | 37        |
| 7.2 State Agency Drought Response Actions.....  | 40        |
| 7.2.1 State Guidance on Nonessential <sup>19</sup> Outdoor Water Use Restrictions ..... | 40        |
| 7.2.2 Level 1- Mild Drought .....   | 41        |
| 7.2.3 Level 2- Significant Drought.....   | 42        |
| 7.2.4 Level 3- Critical Drought .....   | 44        |
| 7.2.5 Level 4- Emergency Drought.....   | 45        |

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <b>Section 8: Drought Preparedness and Response Actions – Guidance for Communities .....</b> | <b>47</b>  |
| 8.1 Community Drought Preparedness Actions .....   | 47         |
| 8.1.1 Develop a Water Conservation Program .....   | 48         |
| 8.1.2 Develop a Local Drought Management Plan .....  | 50         |
| 8.2 Community Drought Response Actions .....   | 53         |
| 8.2.1 Local or Regional Water Agencies/Suppliers .....                                       | 55         |
| 8.2.2 Local Public Health and Safety Agencies .....  | 55         |
| <b>Section 9: Post-Drought Actions .....</b>   | <b>55</b>  |
| <b>Section 10: Drought and Emergency Declarations: Legal Authorities and Powers .....</b>    | <b>56</b>  |
| 10.1 Local Government .....  | 56         |
| 10.2 State Government .....  | 57         |
| 10.2.1 Governor-Declared State of Emergency .....  | 57         |
| 10.2.2 Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency .....                                       | 57         |
| 10.2.3 Department of Environmental Protection .....  | 58         |
| 10.2.4 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority .....   | 59         |
| 10.2.5 Department of Public Health .....   | 60         |
| 10.2.6 Department of Public Utilities .....  | 60         |
| <b>Section 11: Plan Update and Maintenance .....</b>   | <b>61</b>  |
| <b>Appendix A: Abbreviations .....</b>   | <b>62</b>  |
| <b>Appendix B: Drought Management Task Force Contact List .....</b>                          | <b>64</b>  |
| <b>Appendix C: Additional Information about Drought Indices .....</b>                        | <b>69</b>  |
| C.1 2017-2019 Revision Process and Resulting Changes .....                                   | 69         |
| C.2 Method Testing .....   | 73         |
| C.3 Continued Work .....   | 82         |
| <b>Appendix D: Maps of Massachusetts Drought Regions .....</b>                               | <b>84</b>  |
| <b>Appendix E: Private Wells - Frequently Asked Questions .....</b>                          | <b>95</b>  |
| <b>Appendix F: MWRA Water Supply Communities – Full and Partially Served .....</b>           | <b>97</b>  |
| <b>Appendix G: MassDEP Model Water Use Restriction Bylaw/Ordinance .....</b>                 | <b>99</b>  |
| <b>Appendix H: Massachusetts Drought History Summary .....</b>                               | <b>106</b> |

## TABLES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Table 1. Responsibilities of State and Federal Agencies .....                               | 13 |
| Table 2. Counties within Each Drought Region .....  | 15 |
| Table 3. Critical Information and Agencies or Organizations Responsible for Reporting ..... | 17 |
| Table 4. Index Severity Levels and Associated Percentile Ranges.....                        | 18 |
| Table 5. SPI Values Corresponding to Percentile Ranges .....                                | 20 |
| Table 6. Fire Danger Index - Severity Levels and Corresponding KBDI Values .....            | 26 |
| Table 7. Evapotranspiration Index and Corresponding CMI values .....                        | 27 |
| Table 8. Notification List for State Agencies.....  | 31 |
| Table 10: State Guidance on Nonessential Outdoor Water-Use Restrictions .....               | 41 |
| Table 11a: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 1 Mild Drought.....         | 41 |
| Table 11b: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 2 Significant Drought ..... | 43 |
| Table 11c: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 3 Critical Drought .....    | 44 |
| Table 11d: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 4 Emergency Drought .....   | 45 |
| Table 12: Example Staged Drought Response Matrix* .....                                     | 54 |

## FIGURES AND EXHIBITS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Massachusetts Drought Regions with Counties .....                                | 15 |
| Figure 2: Massachusetts Drought Regions with Watersheds.....                               | 16 |
| Figure 3: Massachusetts Precipitation Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring .....      | 19 |
| Figure 4: Massachusetts Streamgauge Network for Drought Monitoring .....                   | 22 |
| Figure 5: Massachusetts Groundwater Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring .....        | 23 |
| Figure 6: Massachusetts Lake and Impoundment Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring ... | 24 |
| Figure 7: Fire Control Districts for Drought Monitoring .....                              | 25 |
| Figure 8: Crop Moisture Index regions .....  | 26 |
| Exhibit 1. Comparison of Percentile Ranges for the Massachusetts DMP and the USDM .....    | 72 |
| Exhibit 2. Table of Indices Values Corresponding to Index Severity Levels.....             | 73 |

## FIGURES AND EXHIBITS (Contd.)

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Exhibit 3. SPI Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016.....   | 74 |
| Exhibit 4. Streamflow Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016.....  | 75 |
| Exhibit 5. Groundwater Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016.....   | 75 |
| Exhibit 6. Percent of Months at Each Index Severity Level for the Northeast Region.....   | 76 |
| Exhibit 7. Comparison of Different Look-Back Periods for SPI Applying 2019 DMP Methods<br>to the Northeast Drought Region.....  | 78 |
| Exhibit 8. Lakes and Impoundments Index for the Northeast Region for the 2013 DMP method and<br>the revised method using the 50th percentile for a regional value (2019 DMP)..... | 78 |
| Exhibit 9. Streamflow at USGS Gaging Locations in 2001.....   | 81 |

## Section 1: Introduction

### 1.1 Purpose of the Plan

The Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA), the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA), and the Drought Management Task Force (DMTF) have developed the Massachusetts Drought Management Plan (DMP) to maximize the state's ability to effectively prepare for and respond to drought conditions.

Specifically, the plan aims to minimize drought impacts to the Commonwealth by improving agency coordination; enhancing monitoring and early drought warning capabilities; and outlining preparedness, response, and recovery activities for state agencies, local communities, and other entities affected by drought. The plan lays out an integrated, multi-agency approach to managing drought, with an emphasis on state-led preparedness and response actions as drought conditions change. Critical new and updated elements provided by the plan include:

- Defining a clear methodology for monitoring and analyzing drought indices and establishing a consistent basis for evaluating the severity of drought conditions;
- Assessing the impacts on various sectors, including the environment;
- Outlining a drought communications plan;
- Establishing membership and responsibilities of the Drought Management Task Force;
- Identifying and assigning drought management roles and responsibilities and providing response guidance and actions for each drought level;
- Providing guidance to communities on drought preparedness and response actions at the local level; and
- Summarizing the emergency powers available to government agencies to respond to drought situations.

The Massachusetts Drought Management Plan is a Hazard Annex under the Massachusetts Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP).

### 1.2 Scope and Applicability

This plan represents a systematic and scientific approach to drought analysis, assessment of impacts, and coordination of actions. The assessment of conditions is statewide, and determinations on the severity level of drought are made for each of seven drought regions across the state (see Figure 1 in Section 3.2 below). Drought declarations may also be made on other spatial delineations such as counties or watersheds. Coordination and drought mitigation actions outlined in the Plan are applicable to state agencies; local government; agricultural, industrial, commercial and institutional water users; regional planning agencies and other statewide stakeholder groups; and all residents of the Commonwealth.



The plan documents how the state determines when a drought begins and establishes the drought levels. It also specifies the responsibilities of state agencies in response to drought, including the lines of communication to be used, the general sequence of actions to be followed at the state and local levels based on the severity of the drought condition, and the emergency powers available to local and state government agencies and the Governor. The plan also offers guidance on critical drought preparedness actions at the state and local levels.

The state agencies and departments that are assigned responsibilities within this Plan will develop and maintain the necessary protocols, standard operating procedures, and mutual-aid agreements to successfully accomplish assigned tasks. This Plan is designed to supplement and support existing local comprehensive emergency management plans and, therefore, builds upon established capabilities at the local level.

Nothing contained in this Plan should be construed to limit the powers of local government to adopt and enforce local emergency bylaws or ordinances as necessary to protect the public welfare, safety, and health.

## **1.3 Background**

### **1.3.1 Massachusetts' Climate - Past, Present and Future**

Massachusetts is located on the eastern edge of the North American continent. This, coupled with its northerly latitude, exposes the state to both the moderating and moistening influence of the Atlantic Ocean, and the effects of hot and cold air masses from the interior of the continent. Its climate is characterized by cold, snowy winters and warm summers. The polar jet stream is often located near the state, giving it highly variable weather patterns, wide-ranging daily and annual temperatures, and generally abundant precipitation throughout the year.<sup>1</sup>

Massachusetts' annual precipitation is variable from year to year. Average annual precipitation is 48 inches per year, ranging from 30 to 61 inches in the driest and wettest years, respectively. Precipitation is generally spread fairly evenly across the months, with approximately 3- to 4-inch average amounts for each month of the year. The driest conditions in recorded history were observed in the early 1900s and again in the 1960s, with wetter conditions occurring since the 1970s. The driest five-year period was 1962-1966.

According to Runkle et. al. (2017), annual precipitation in Massachusetts has been increasing in recent decades and is expected to rise further as a result of climate change. However, most of this precipitation will fall during extreme precipitation events that are projected to more than double by the end of the 21st century. As a result, coastal and inland flooding risks will substantially increase by 2050. Large storms add significantly to monthly and annual precipitation totals, but unlike a similar amount of precipitation falling in multiple, smaller storms, they do not translate to significant

---

<sup>1</sup> Runkle, J., K. Kunkel, R. Frankson, D. Easterling, A.T. DeGaetano, B. Stewart, and W. Sweet, 2017: Massachusetts State Climate Summary. NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 149-MA, 4 pp.

groundwater replenishment or steady streamflows. This dynamic is further exacerbated in developed areas with impervious cover, which also reduces groundwater recharge and contributes to fast and increased runoff leading to flashy streams with scouring. Additionally, increased evaporation from warmer temperatures, alterations in the timing and magnitude of streamflow following reductions in snowpack, as well as changes in the amount, timing, and type of precipitation, may intensify naturally occurring droughts.

### **1.3.2 Drought Impacts**

Drought can be characterized as an abnormally dry (moisture-deficient) condition that is a shift away from average conditions for some prolonged period of time. Abnormally dry conditions may be due to a combination of precipitation deficit and increased evapotranspiration from heat stress. Depending on a drought's severity and duration drought can affect a wide range of natural and anthropogenic systems, and these impacts can last beyond the drought.

Examples of impacts to natural systems include diminished quantity and quality of streamflow, groundwater, and surface water, which in turn affect aquatic life and habitat; increased fire danger; decline in the health of forests and other vegetation leading to increased vulnerability to storm damage and uprooting, resulting in increased erosion and reduced bank stability; and indirect impacts to forests from insects, whose predators are vulnerable to drought.

Examples of impacts to anthropogenic systems include diminished water supply quantity and quality; reduced water supply, which may lead to diminished pressure for firefighting, increased stress on the agricultural industry, which may need to secure additional water supplies and potentially alter operations; increased fire risk for people and infrastructure especially those living near forests.

### **1.3.3 History of Drought in Massachusetts**

Massachusetts is a relatively water-rich state. However, it has suffered several major statewide droughts. Appendix H uses four different information sources to summarize the history of droughts in Massachusetts since 1879. The most severe, state-wide droughts occurred in 1879-1883, 1908-1912, 1929-1932, 1939-1944, 1961-1969, 1980-1983, and 2016-2017. Several less-severe droughts occurred in 1999, 2001, 2002, 2007, 2008, 2010, and 2014. The nine-year drought from 1961-1969 is considered the drought of record. The longevity and severity of this drought forced public water suppliers to implement water-use restrictions, and numerous communities utilized emergency water supplies. Thirty-seven communities had emergency declarations for water supply, ten communities had water supply in a critical condition with either restricted pumping or less than 90 days' worth of supply, and the Quabbin Reservoir, the state's largest, dropped to 45% of its capacity (Wandle, 1991<sup>2</sup>).

---

<sup>2</sup> Wandle, S.W. Jr. 1991. Massachusetts chapter of the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Summary 1988-1989 – Hydrologic Event and Floods and Droughts. Water Supply Paper 2375. <https://pubs.usgs.gov/wsp/2375/report.pdf>

Drought is historically a slowly developing – and often long-lasting – event with cumulative impacts. However, the most recent 2016-2017 drought was characterized by a rapid decline in conditions from one month to the next, fitting the recently introduced concept of a “flash drought”<sup>3</sup>. The 2016-2017 drought was the most significant drought in Massachusetts since the 1960s. The National Weather Service reported rainfall was well below average, down to the mid-30s inches each year. In many parts of the state, U.S. Geological Survey data for streamflow and groundwater reached new record low levels for several consecutive months.

#### **1.3.4 History of the Drought Plan**

The Massachusetts Drought Management Plan was initially developed in the early 2000s in response to a period of precipitation deficiency from April 1999 to March 2000. State and federal agencies met in August 1999 as an ad-hoc Drought Management Task Force (DMTF) to assess drought conditions and develop recommendations to the Secretary of Environmental Affairs on the level of drought and to the agencies on drought responses. The group continued to meet to develop a Drought Management Plan with standard procedures to help facilitate responses to current and future situations. The Drought Management Plan was developed in 2001 as a working document, and the methods outlined in the plan have been applied several times since then. In 2013, the plan underwent minor updates and was formally adopted by the DMTF.

During the 2016-2017 drought, the 2013 plan was used and implemented, and many lessons were learned, resulting in this update. In revising this plan, the DMTF and state agencies conducted an in-depth review of all the indices, including how they are monitored, analyzed and applied; reexamined the boundaries of the drought regions; reviewed and researched effective preparedness and response actions; and undertook a broader review of communication pathways. This resulted in an adjustment to the boundaries of some drought regions; an introduction of a new ‘Islands’ region; the removal of ‘percent of normal’ precipitation as an index; a change to the look-back periods for the Standard Precipitation Index; a change in nomenclature of the ‘reservoir’ index to ‘lakes and impoundments’; a change in methodology for calculating the indices and for calculating the thresholds for drought levels; the introduction of new and substantially updated actions for local and state government; and updated communication actions. Details about the analyses conducted and changes made to the indices are provided in Appendix C.

## **Section 2: Authority and Coordination**

### **2.1 EEA and MEMA**

EEA, in conjunction with MEMA, is responsible for overall coordination of this Plan. Working together during a drought, EEA and MEMA will provide overall support and situational awareness to state and local entities and will coordinate the state response to areas of the Commonwealth impacted by drought. Specifically, EEA is responsible for coordination among all environmental agencies and

---

<sup>3</sup> Otkin et. al., 2018. <https://journals.ametsoc.org/doi/pdf/10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0149.1>

carries out its tasks with the assistance of Massachusetts Water Resources Commission staff housed at the Department of Conservation and Recreation. EEA coordinates closely with the Governor's office, plans and implements communication with the public, and leads and oversees drought response across the state. MEMA is responsible for coordinating any additional resources necessary to support drought response efforts across the Commonwealth, including additional federal, state, local, voluntary, and private resources.

Together, EEA and MEMA:

- Convene the DMTF, including establishing its agendas and facilitating its meetings;
- Collect and disseminate data on the status of the drought;
- Prepare DMTF meeting summaries;
- Coordinate communications among government agencies;
- Communicate DMTF's recommendations on the level of drought for each region;
- Coordinate federal assistance, as needed;
- Advise on communications with the general public; and
- Forward recommendations to the appropriate entities.

## **2.2 Drought Management Task Force**

### **2.2.1 Composition**

The Drought Management Task Force (DMTF) consists of representatives of state and federal agencies – such as environmental agencies, public health officials, and public safety officials – that provide data related to assessing drought and weather conditions and have the ability to assess impacts to various resources and water users and respond to drought conditions. The DMTF also includes professional organizations that have responsibility for areas likely to be affected by drought conditions.

The DMTF is housed at EEA and is co-chaired by the Director of Water Policy at EEA and the Director of MEMA or a designee. All DMTF-related activities and matters are directed and managed by the Director of Water Policy. The Department of Conservation and Recreation's Office of Water Resources, staff to the Massachusetts Water Resources Commission, provides technical and planning support to the DMTF.

The DMTF has representatives from the following agencies and organizations:

- Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (co-chair)
- Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (co-chair)
- Department of Agricultural Resources
- Department of Conservation and Recreation
- Department of Environmental Protection
- Department of Fire Services (within the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security)
- Department of Fish and Game
- Department of Public Health

- Department of Public Utilities
- Farm Services Agency, U.S. Department of Agriculture
- Massachusetts Association of Health Boards
- Massachusetts Rivers Alliance
- Massachusetts Water Resources Authority
- Massachusetts Water Works Association
- National Weather Service
- Northeast River Forecast Center, National Weather Service
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- United States Geological Survey
- Water Supply Citizens Advisory Committee

Appendix B provides a contact list for Task Force members.

### **2.2.2 Purpose and Responsibilities**

The DMTF provides a comprehensive assessment of the drought situation based on an analysis of the drought indices, near-term forecast of precipitation and temperature, other information about specific impacts as available, and best professional judgement. The DMTF recommends drought levels for each region of the Commonwealth to the Secretary of EEA; facilitates communication and situational awareness through EEA with the Governor’s Office, the Secretary of Public Safety and Security, or others as needed; and uses the plan to identify recommendations on potential response actions to minimize impacts to public health, safety, the environment, and agriculture. In the event of a severe drought, the DMTF makes recommendations for declaring emergencies and for developing and implementing emergency responses.

Additional DMTF responsibilities include:

- Developing and updating the Massachusetts Drought Management Plan;
- Identifying and providing general oversight of drought response actions;
- Identifying drought management trends, issues and gaps; and
- Documenting and sharing lessons from drought events.

Implementation of the DMTF-recommended response actions is the responsibility of the appropriate agency or entity based on its jurisdiction or expertise. MEMA and EEA will forward recommendations through the appropriate chain(s) of command and follow up with the responsible agencies to ensure the successful implementation of DMP and Task Force recommendations.

The DMTF is not intended to infringe upon the statutory or other obligations of its member agencies or of others who are responsible for responding to any particular situation, but rather to ensure there is a coordinated response by state and federal agencies to drought situations.

**Table 1. Responsibilities of State and Federal Agencies**

| Agency                                    | Responsibilities  |
|---|---|
| Department of Agricultural Resources      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Monitor and report on crop moisture status and agricultural impacts from drought, in coordination with UMass Extension</li> <li>▪ Communicate with USDA for federal assistance, as appropriate</li> <li>▪ Communicate with agricultural community about available aid and provide technical assistance</li> </ul>  |
| Department of Conservation and Recreation | <p>Office of Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Manage the state’s network of precipitation observation stations and a precipitation database</li> <li>▪ Coordinate, collect, and analyze data to deliver monthly reports on six drought indices</li> <li>▪ Assist in DMTF meeting preparation and follow up</li> </ul> <p>Forestry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Monitor and report on level of fire danger in each drought region</li> <li>▪ Manage state fire suppression resources</li> <li>▪ Coordinate with local, state, federal agencies, and other states to mobilize resources, as needed.</li> </ul> <p>Engineering and Dam Safety</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Assess conditions and report on Charles and Mystic flood control dams</li> <li>▪ Report on other critical DCR infrastructure</li> </ul> |
| Department of Environmental Protection    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provide list of communities with voluntary and mandatory water bans (as reported) and declared water emergencies</li> <li>▪ Review petitions from public water systems to declare a state of water emergency and declare such emergencies with applicable requirements for communities facing public health or safety threats due to drought impacts to their water supply systems</li> <li>▪ Provide information on public water supplies, drinking water quality, water pressure or public health concerns associated with drinking water supplies</li> <li>▪ Ensure that any public water supply with a public health order notify its customers and its local Board of Health</li> </ul>   |
| Department of Fire Services               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provide guidance and support on pre-planning, risk assessment and Fire Code requirements relating to water supplies for fire-fighting purposes.</li> </ul>   |
| Department of Fish and Game               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Monitor and report on impacts to coastal and inland ecosystems, flora, and fauna</li> </ul>  |
| Department of Public Health               | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Summarize any public health issues related to drought such as impacts to private wells, beaches, lakes and ponds, etc.</li> <li>▪ Coordinate with local Boards of Health</li> </ul>  |
| Department of Public Utilities            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Summarize impacts to private well companies and other public utility issues</li> </ul>   |
| National Weather Service                  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provide summary of precipitation data, historical comparisons, and forecasts of weather and riverine conditions</li> </ul>   |
| United States Geological Survey           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provide summary of groundwater, streamflow and surface water conditions</li> </ul>   |

## 2.3 Drought Management Mission Group

To effectively coordinate and execute response actions by state agencies and other entities, an interagency Drought Management Mission Group will be formed to monitor drought impacts and prioritize response actions at all levels of government. This coordinating body comprises staff assigned by agency commissioners or directors from MEMA, MassDEP, DCR, DAR, DPH, and other agencies as needed and is formed when a drought reaches Level 2-Significant Drought. The EEA Director of Water Policy and Director of MEMA (or designee) will lead the Mission Group. The Mission Group will meet on a periodic basis with greater frequency than the DMTF and update the DMTF on response actions through agency representatives on the DMTF.

## 2.4 State and Federal Support Agencies

Many state and federal agencies serve on the DMTF providing data, analyses, impact reports, expert advice, and recommendations on drought determinations and response actions. These agencies have roles and responsibilities under this plan, as described in Section 6 and summarized in Table 1.

## Section 3: Drought Assessment and Determination

### 3.1 Drought Levels

For the purposes of this Plan, conditions are classified into five levels: a normal condition and four drought severity levels. These levels are based on six drought indices, observed impacts to various resources and forecasts, as described in detail in Sections 3.3 to 3.4.

The condition levels are: 'Level 0-Normal'

(i.e., No Drought), 'Level 1-Mild Drought' (formerly Advisory),

'Level 2-Significant Drought' (formerly Watch), 'Level 3-Critical Drought' (formerly Warning), and

'Level 4-Emergency Drought' (formerly Emergency). These levels were selected to provide distinction between different levels of drought severity and for adequate warning of worsening drought conditions.

Level 0 - Normal

Level 1 - Mild Drought (formerly Advisory)

Level 2 - Significant Drought (formerly Watch)

Level 3 - Critical Drought (formerly Warning)

Level 4 - Emergency Drought


### 3.2 Drought Regions

Regions across the Commonwealth differ in precipitation patterns, topography, land use, population density, and other factors that affect drought propensity and intensity. This Plan delineates seven Drought Regions to allow flexibility and customization of drought declarations and response actions for different areas within the Commonwealth. The Drought Regions represent broad geographic areas, originally based on precipitation patterns, which have been refined along their boundaries to align with county boundaries. County alignment facilitates more streamlined communication and response when droughts occur.

Table 2 shows the counties corresponding to each of the seven regions. Figure 1 shows drought regions and counties on a map. For maps and a list of towns by drought region, go to Appendix D.

**Table 2. Counties within Each Drought Region**

| Drought Region           | County(ies)  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Western                  | Berkshire  |
| Connecticut River Valley | Franklin, Hampshire, and Hampden                         |
| Central                  | Worcester  |
| Northeast                | Essex, Middlesex, and Suffolk (plus town of Brookline)   |
| Southeast                | Bristol, Plymouth, and Norfolk (minus town of Brookline) |
| Cape Cod                 | Barnstable   |
| Islands                  | Nantucket and Dukes (includes Elizabeth Islands)         |



**Figure 1: Massachusetts Drought Regions with Counties**

During a drought, these regions may be adjusted based on the particular conditions of the drought. For example, drought analyses may be performed and declarations made on an individual county or watershed basis. This may be particularly useful if drier conditions exist within a watershed or county but not in the entire region(s) in which it is located. Figure 2 shows a map with the major watersheds delineated.



### 3.3 Critical Information Requirements

Collecting pertinent data and monitoring trends in the data are vital to assessing the severity of drought conditions, assessing impacts to public health and the natural environment, and making timely, accurate decisions. Some data are compiled year-round by DCR's Office of Water Resources (OWR) staff into a monthly Hydrologic Conditions Report. This report summarizes the condition of water resources across the Commonwealth, including the calculation of six drought indices: Precipitation, Groundwater, Streamflow, Lakes and Impoundments, Evapotranspiration, and Fire Danger; these indices are further described in Section 3.4. The report also includes weather forecasts.

When persistently dry conditions occur, various agencies provide additional data to assist the DMTF in determining if a drought is imminent or occurring. Table 3 of this plan details the data reported to the DMTF to assist with making a drought determination, and the agencies or organizations responsible for collecting and reporting the data to the DMTF.




Figure 2: Massachusetts Drought Regions with Watersheds

### 3.4 Drought Indices

Six Drought Indices are used as the primary drivers of drought determinations, supplemented by the other considerations described in Table 3. The six indices are: 1) Precipitation, 2) Streamflow, 3) Groundwater, 4) Lakes and Impoundments, 5) Fire Danger, and 6) Evapotranspiration. This section describes the calculation of the six drought indices. First, background is provided on the methods (subsection 3.4.1) and then the calculations are detailed for each index in subsections 3.4.2 through 3.4.7.

**Table 3. Critical Information and Agencies or Organizations Responsible for Reporting**

| Information  | Agency or Organization   |
|--|--|
| Groundwater levels, streamflow, and levels of lakes and impoundments   | Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR):<br>Office of Water Resources (OWR)<br>United States Geological Survey (USGS)<br>United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) |
| Precipitation and temperature  | DCR OWR<br>National Weather Service (NWS)  |
| Forecast and weather information   | NWS<br>USGS  |
| List of communities with reported voluntary and mandatory water bans and declared water emergencies            | Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)   |
| Other drinking water quality, water pressure or public health concerns associated with drinking water supplies | MassDEP<br>Department of Public Health (DPH)<br>Massachusetts Water Works Association (MWWA)   |
| Quabbin and Wachusett reservoir levels and status of MWRA communities' water supplies                          | DCR<br>Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA)  |
| Fire danger levels, forest fire conditions   | DCR Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry<br>Department of Fire Services<br>State Fire Marshal  |
| Soil, crop, livestock and other agricultural conditions and impacts  | Department of Agricultural Resources (DAR)<br>United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)<br>Farm Services Agency (FSA)   |
| Public utility impacts   | Department of Public Utilities (DPU)   |
| Public health impacts  | Department of Public Health (DPH)<br>Massachusetts Association of Health Boards (MAHB)   |
| Ecosystems, forests, flora, and fauna impacts  | Department of Fish and Game (DFG), DCR (as applicable)   |
| Other  | As reported through the Drought Impact Reporter or other sources   |

### 3.4.1 Methods for Calculating Indices

The following describes the methods for calculating all indices, except the Fire Danger and Evapotranspiration Indices, for which the historical data required for the methods are not available. For a given index, measurements at multiple sites within a region are analyzed wherever available. For each site, the percentile of that month's measured value is calculated based on all other values for that month at that site over the period of record<sup>4</sup>. A percentile is a value on a scale of 0-100 that indicates the percent of a dataset that is equal to or below the value<sup>5</sup>. For example, a monthly average streamflow value at the 30th percentile is equal to or greater than 30 percent of the monthly average streamflow values for that site for that month during all the years in the period of record. Characterizing how unusual a given condition is at a given site in this manner follows methods of the USGS<sup>6</sup> and the U.S. Drought Monitor<sup>7</sup> and allows for useful comparisons among sites with different hydrologic characteristics. For a site to be included in the analysis, the site must have a period of record of at least ten years.

Conditions are then characterized at a regional scale by the median of all the individual sites' percentiles within that region. This median percentile is categorized as one of five index severity levels, based on the ranges shown in Table 4. The severity level for any index may be different from the overall regional drought level, which is a comprehensive assessment of all six indices. For example, if the median percentile value across the individual sites is 25, then the region is categorized as Level 1 for that index. If the median percentile is above 30, that index is classified as not exhibiting drought conditions (i.e., Level 0). A summary table of index severity levels and corresponding values for all drought indices is presented in Appendix C.

**Table 4. Index Severity Levels and Associated Percentile Ranges**

| Index Severity Level | Percentile Ranges |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| 0                    | >30               |
| 1                    | ≤30 and >20       |
| 2                    | ≤20 and >10       |
| 3                    | ≤10 and >2        |
| 4                    | ≤2                |

<sup>4</sup> The POR is the time period over which data have been measured and made available for a dataset. For example, a stream gage that has measurements from January 1970 to December 2016 would have a 47-year period of record.

<sup>5</sup> <https://waterwatch.usgs.gov/ptile.html>

<sup>6</sup> <https://pubs.usgs.gov/twri/twri4a3/pdf/twri4a3-new.pdf>

<sup>7</sup> <http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/AboutUSDMD/DroughtClassification.aspx>

### 3.4.2 Precipitation

Precipitation deficit is a primary driver of dry conditions. A deficit may be an early signal of drying conditions, while a surplus during a drought may indicate the start of drought recovery.

For the calculation of this index, monthly precipitation totals are compiled from monitoring stations in DCR's Precipitation Program and the NWS network. A map of these stations is provided in Figure 3. The values used for the Precipitation Index are the calculated Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) values. The SPI is a widely used index that was developed to reflect the probability of a given precipitation depth occurring at a precipitation station over a given time period. SPI can be calculated for different time periods or "look-back" periods to monitor conditions on a variety of time scales. For a short-term drought signal, for example, the three-month SPI is calculated as the cumulative precipitation over the three most recent months compared to the cumulative precipitation for those same three months of the year over the period of record. The SPI values can be interpreted as the number of standard deviations by which the observed value deviates from the long-term mean. SPI values correspond to specific percentiles as shown in Table 5.




Figure 3: Massachusetts Precipitation Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring

**Table 5. SPI Values Corresponding to Percentile Ranges**

| Index Severity Level | Percentile Ranges | SPI Values          |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 0                    | >30               | > -0.52             |
| 1                    | ≤30 and >20       | ≤ -0.52 and > -0.84 |
| 2                    | ≤20 and >10       | ≤ -0.84 and > -1.28 |
| 3                    | ≤10 and >2        | ≤ -1.28 and > -2.05 |
| 4                    | ≤2                | ≤ -2.05             |

The following calculation steps are performed each month:

- 1) For each station,
  - a) Sum the precipitation (rain plus melted snow) over the month; and
  - b) Calculate the SPI value based on the period of record including the current month for the following look-back periods: 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 36 months.
  
- 2) For each drought region,
  - a) Calculate the median of the individual stations' SPI values for each look-back period;
  - b) Identify the percentile range associated with the median SPI value for each look-back period, according to Table 5;
  - c) Determine the severity level of each look-back period based on the percentile ranges in Table 5.

A summary table with all look-back periods and their corresponding severity levels is presented to the DMTF. The full set of look-back periods will provide a sense of the change in conditions over time. Based on discussion, the DMTF will then select the most relevant look-back period(s) and determine the overall severity level for the index. For example,

- i) During normal conditions, a shorter look-back period may be selected to highlight the potential onset of a drought due to recent, short-term deficits.
- ii) During a long-term drought, a longer look-back period may be selected because a short recent period of average precipitation may not be sufficient to end drought conditions and the previous deficits are still affecting conditions.

The 1-month look-back period is intended to provide information about current conditions but it is not intended to drive the severity level of the index because one isolated month of low precipitation does not constitute a drought. Similarly, one month of normal precipitation is not likely to end a drought and recovery in the longer look-back periods would likely be necessary. An analysis of the 36-month look-back period suggested that time periods beyond the 24-month time frame do not

provide additional drought signals at the Drought Region scale (see Appendix C). However, based on recent research showing multi-year impact of precipitation in some wells (Weider and Boutt 2010, Boutt 2016, Boutt 2017)<sup>8</sup> the 36-month look-back period is retained until further research is conducted and experience is acquired.

For purposes of communication with the public, but not for determining drought status, the “percent of normal” precipitation metric will also be calculated and reported, as it is a commonly understood concept by the public. The “percent of normal” value is calculated by averaging monthly precipitation depth across all stations in a region; the next step is dividing that value by the average of all other monthly average precipitation depths for that same month of the year over the period of record and multiplying by 100. For example, for the month of April, all April monthly precipitation values in the period of record are averaged, and the current precipitation value is translated into a percent of that average value.

### 3.4.3 Streamflow

Streamflow can be affected quickly by precipitation deficits, and this index is intended to provide an early signal of environmental impacts, such as impacts to streams, riparian buffer areas, river front areas, wetlands, and other habitats. The Streamflow Index responds faster to deficits in precipitation during the growing season, when a significant amount of the precipitation returns to the atmosphere via evapotranspiration and a smaller proportion makes it to the streams. Streamflow data are acquired from the Massachusetts USGS website at <https://ma.water.usgs.gov/>. A map of the streamgages used in the calculation of this index is provided in Figure 4. Historically, the streamflow index had not been calculated for the Cape Cod and Islands regions. Based on a network analysis of stream gages that will be conducted, the streamflow index may be calculated for the Cape Cod region in the future, and specific gages in each region may also change.

The following calculation steps are performed each month:

- 1) For each gage,
  - a) Calculate the median of daily flows for the month; and
  - b) Calculate the percentile of this median flow based on the median flows for the same month of the year over the streamgage’s period of record.
- 2) For each drought region,
  - a) Calculate the median of the individual streamgage percentiles; and


Determine the severity level based on the percentile ranges in Table 4.

---

<sup>8</sup> Weider, Kaitlyn & Boutt, David. (2010). Heterogeneous Water Table Response to Climate Revealed by 60 Years of Ground Water Data. *Geophysical Research Letters* - 37. DOI:10.1029/2010GL045561.

Boutt, David. (2016). Assessing Hydrogeologic Controls on Dynamic Groundwater Storage Using Long-Term Instrumental Records of Water Table Levels: Assessing Hydrogeologic Controls on Dynamic Groundwater Storage. *Hydrological Processes*- 31. DOI:10.1002/hyp.11119.

Boutt, David. (2017). Extreme Precipitation Events and Subsurface Water Storage Dynamics of Glaciated Landscapes. GSA Annual Meeting in Seattle, Washington, USA – 2017. DOI:10.1130/abs/2017AM-307912.



**Figure 4: Massachusetts Streamgage Network for Drought Monitoring**

In addition to each region’s calculated severity level, a summary table is presented to the DMTF detailing the number of streamgages in each region at the various severity levels and noting instances of new record low flows.

### 3.4.4 Groundwater


The Groundwater Index is intended to show a general overview of the water level in aquifers. Because of recharge lag time and a storage component, this index generally shows drought impacts later than other indices and takes longer to recover. Groundwater data from wells in the USGS Climate Response Network are used for this index and are acquired from the Massachusetts USGS website at <https://ma.water.usgs.gov/>. A map of the wells used in the calculation of this index is provided in Figure 5.

The following calculation steps are performed each month:

- 1) For each well, calculate the percentile of the water-level measurement for the month<sup>9</sup> based on values for the same month of the year over the well’s period of record. To align monthly,

<sup>9</sup> Wells are measured either periodically (manually, once per month, and generally the last week of the month) or continually (real-time equipment measure data at 15-minute or hourly intervals)..

manually measured wells with continuously, automatically measured wells, use the following data points:



**Figure 5: Massachusetts Groundwater Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring**


- a) for manually measured wells, use the one available value; if multiple measurements exist due to maintenance or other reasons, use the one closest to the 24<sup>th</sup> of the month, except in December, when manual wells are measured early, use the one closest to the 19<sup>th</sup> of the month;
  - b) for continuously measured wells, use the daily average water level for the 24<sup>th</sup> day of the month, except in December, when manual wells are measured early, use the 19<sup>th</sup> of the month.
- 2) For each drought region,
- a) Calculate the median of the individual well percentiles; and
  - b) Determine the severity level based on the percentile ranges in Table 4.

In addition to each region’s calculated severity level, a summary table is presented to the DMTF detailing the number of wells in each region at the various severity levels, including the number of wells at new record lows.



### 3.4.5 Lakes and Impoundments

The Lakes and Impoundments Index characterizes the condition of surface-water storage across lakes, ponds, water supply reservoirs, flood control reservoirs and other human-made impoundments. It is not intended to be a measure of water supply adequacy and, therefore, is not adjusted to reflect drought thresholds in individual public-water system drought management plans.



**Figure 6: Massachusetts Lake and Impoundment Monitoring Network for Drought Monitoring**

Because of its storage component, this index may show drought impacts later than other indices. Alternatively, some reservoir systems may show drought impacts concurrent with other indices given the shallow nature of their impoundments.

Water-elevation data for this index are acquired from public-water suppliers via personal communication, from online USGS data, and from direct measurements. A map of the lakes and impoundments used in the calculation of this Index is provided in Figure 6.

The following calculation steps are performed each month:

- 1) For each lake or impoundment, calculate the percentile of the measurement for the month based on measurements for that same month of the year over the water body's period of record. To align monthly reported reservoirs with continuously, automatically reported reservoirs, use the following data points:
  - a) For monthly reported reservoirs, use the one reported value or, if there are multiple values reported, use the latest in the month,

- b) For continuously reported reservoirs, use the 7 a.m. reading on the last day of the month. This time corresponds to the time the precipitation gages are read in the state’s Precipitation program.
- 2) For each drought region,
  - a) Calculate the median of the individual percentiles; and
  - b) Determine the severity level based on the percentile ranges in Table 4.

If an outlier in a location’s data is noticed, the responsible entity for that location will be contacted to determine whether the location should be included in that month’s assessment. For example, a reservoir may be drawn down for maintenance purposes, or a water supply system may be operated differently from historical practice. In either case, the measurement is not comparable to measurements in the period of record and would be excluded.

In addition to each region’s calculated severity level, a summary table is presented to the DMTF detailing the number of lakes and impoundments in each region at the various severity levels.

### 3.4.6 Fire Danger

The values used for the Fire Danger Index are those from the Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI). KBDI is a drought index for fire potential assessment and relates to the flammability of organic material in the ground. It attempts to measure the amount of precipitation necessary to return the top eight inches of soil to full “field capacity,” that is, the total moisture the soil can retain once excess has drained. Each of the 14 Fire Districts of the Massachusetts Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry calculates and reports KBDI values, usually March 1 through November 30. During the cooler, wetter months of December through February, KBDI is not calculated because fire danger is low. However, the Fire Chief, as a member of the DMTF, provides updates should any fire danger arise.




Figure 7: Fire Control Districts for Drought

At least one Massachusetts Fire District is in each of the seven Drought Regions. A map of the Fire Districts overlaid with the Drought Regions is provided in Figure 7.

The approach for this index differs from the approach used for other indices because historical data for KBDI are not available; therefore, calculating the percentile value of a KBDI for its period of record is not feasible. Instead, the Fire Danger Index is determined using the following steps:

For each district, the latest available weekly KBDI value is used.


- 1) For each region,
  - a) If there is more than one fire district, select the highest value (i.e., higher fire danger); and
  - b) Translate KBDI values to index severity levels using Table 6.

**Table 6. Fire Danger Index - Severity Levels and Corresponding KBDI**

| Index Severity Level | KBDI Range  |
|----------------------|-------------|
| 0                    | <200        |
| 1                    | 200 to <400 |
| 2                    | 400 to <600 |
| 3                    | 600 to <700 |
| 4                    | 700 to <800 |

### 3.4.7 Evapotranspiration

The Evapotranspiration Index is intended to capture the effect of high temperatures on evaporation and transpiration, which can result in less precipitation remaining in the environment than during cooler seasons. This index can provide an early warning of drought onset during the warm growing season. It can also provide a sense of the stress on the agricultural industry both as potential impacts on non-irrigated agriculture and higher water costs for irrigated agriculture.



**Figure 8: Crop Moisture Index regions**

The Crop Moisture Index (CMI) has been used in the DMP since 2001. It estimates the deficit between precipitation retained in soil and the potential evapotranspiration needs of crops. NOAA produces a national map of CMI values on a weekly basis<sup>10</sup>. Massachusetts is divided into three districts and CMI values are provided for each. Figure 8 shows a map of these districts.

Table 7 below shows the severity levels corresponding to CMI values. CMI values can change significantly from week to week because it is a rapidly responding index. The latest available weekly value is reported.

**Table 7. Evapotranspiration Index and Corresponding CMI values**

| Index Severity Level | Crop Moisture Index |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| 0                    | > -1.0              |
| 1                    | ≤-1.0 and > -2.0    |
| 2                    | ≤-2.0 and > -3.0    |
| 3                    | ≤ -3.0 and > -4.0   |
| 4                    | ≤-4.0               |

Some limitations to this index have been identified. First, the national maps use only three data points for Massachusetts. Also, the CMI was developed and calibrated for the grain-producing regions of the central United States<sup>11,12</sup> and may not be accurate for Massachusetts at the regional scale for all drought regions. Until further research and testing is completed on alternatives, the CMI will be retained as the Evapotranspiration Index.

### 3.4.8 Additional Information

In addition to the drought indices, other relevant information is presented to the DMTF by task force members as described below. The DMTF relies upon this additional information for a comprehensive characterization of drought conditions and impacts and weighs it in their discussions and drought determinations.

#### 3.4.8.1 Forecasts

The NWS presents the following information and forecasts:

- Recent weather and any large-scale climatic events that may be contributing to local weather patterns (e.g., El Niño) in recent or coming months;
- Snowpack status including water equivalent of snowpack relative to historical data, and rain-on-snow or snowmelt events;

<sup>10</sup> [http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/regional\\_monitoring/cmi.gif](http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/regional_monitoring/cmi.gif)

<sup>11</sup> [http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/cdus/palmer\\_drought/wpdanote.shtml](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/cdus/palmer_drought/wpdanote.shtml)

<sup>12</sup> <http://www.droughtmanagement.info/crop-moisture-index-cmi/>

- Weather forecasts for the 7-day, 2-week, 1-month and 3-month timeframes<sup>13</sup>;
- One- and three-month outlooks on potential drought conditions<sup>14</sup>; and
- Streamflow forecasts.

These data may help interpret anomalies in the drought indices and provide forecasts that can be helpful when determining drought severity for a region.

### 3.4.8.2 Impact Reports

Multiple agencies and organizations provide information and qualitative summaries of drought impacts on various interests, including public drinking water supplies, public health, public utilities, agriculture, aquatic ecosystems, forests, and recreation. Table 3 details each agency and organization and its reporting responsibilities. Information gathered through other sources such as members of the public and the Drought Impact Reporter<sup>15</sup> may also be considered.

## Section 4: Process of Determining Drought Status

This section describes the process by which state entities determine drought status. The process has two components: the DMTF reviews data, makes a drought determination and a formal recommendation to the EEA Secretary; and the EEA Secretary declares drought levels for each region.

### 4.1 DMTF Deliberation and Drought Recommendation

As described in Section 3 above, DCR Office of Water Resources staff routinely collect and analyze data and report on hydrologic conditions on a monthly basis to the Water Resources Commission. When the analyses indicate that conditions are drying and action may be warranted by the Drought Management Task Force, EEA and MEMA convene a DMTF meeting. DMTF members present the data and information described in Sections 3.3 and 3.4. An opportunity is also provided for members of the public to contribute additional information. The DMTF reviews and discusses the presented information detailed in Section 3 and deliberates on a drought level for each region<sup>16</sup>.

The DMTF may consider whether a region(s) is coming into a drought, is experiencing ongoing drought, or appears to be emerging from a drought. In each phase, different indices and information may be weighted more than others. For example, the faster-responding indices such as the Precipitation (short-term look-back periods), Streamflow, Evapotranspiration, and Fire Danger indices may be the first to signal a developing drought. During drought recovery, the Groundwater and Precipitation (long-term look-back periods) indices will likely weigh more heavily, as these can take longer to recover from drought deficits. Additionally, forecast data and the forecasted status of the indicators will be considered. A smaller spatial delineation, such as a county or watershed, for a

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.cpc.noaa.gov/products/forecasts/>

<sup>14</sup> <http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/Drought/>

<sup>15</sup> <http://droughtreporter.unl.edu/submitreport/>

<sup>16</sup> The requirement from the 2013 DMP for a majority of indices to be below normal has been eliminated. As described in the following paragraph, the deliberation considers which phase of the drought is occurring, the most relevant indices to weight, and severity of those indices.

drought declaration may also be recommended. The meetings conclude once the DMTF has evaluated all available and relevant information and determined a drought-level recommendation for all regions. The DMTF provides these recommendations to the Secretary of EEA. The DMTF continues to meet at least monthly for the duration of the drought.

## **4.2 Drought Declaration**

After due consideration of the information and recommendations provided by the DMTF – including current conditions, on-the-ground impacts, relative severity of indices, and forecasts of climatological and hydrological conditions – the Secretary of EEA declares the drought levels for each region across the Commonwealth.

## **4.3 End of Drought**

Determinations regarding the end of a drought focus on the precipitation and groundwater indices. These metrics will be prioritized when evaluating declarations for the end of a drought. Precipitation is a key factor because it is the overall cause of improving conditions. Depending on the severity and length of the drought, surplus precipitation is likely necessary not only for recovery of the shorter but also for the longer look-back periods. In determining the end of drought, the DMTF may discount short-term precipitation surplus and focus on longer term precipitation recovery. Additionally, the DMTF will consider the timing of precipitation events, because the same amount of precipitation can differ in its effect on drought conditions depending on the season. Precipitation occurring during the fall and spring, when the ground is not frozen and evapotranspiration is relatively low, is ideal for groundwater recharge and, therefore, should result in the quickest return to normal groundwater conditions. Groundwater levels are also good recovery indicators because they have a storage component that may require time to recharge to average levels making them, in general, among the last of the indices to respond to improving conditions.

## **Section 5: Drought Communication**

Establishing clear lines of communication with the public, public safety partners, and decision-makers to ensure they have accurate and timely information on which to base decisions is a key component of managing and responding to droughts. Clarity and consistency of message is also critical. EEA and MEMA will serve as the primary coordinating entities for drought communications. This section of the plan outlines the general notification and communication framework for drought management.

### **5.1 Communication Platforms**

Primary communication among EEA, MEMA, and state agencies and departments with roles and responsibilities under this plan is via telephone and e-mail. As required, and at the direction of EEA, conference calls and in-person meetings may be utilized. If the event warrants (e.g., emergency

drought), MEMA's WebEOC<sup>17</sup> should be utilized to record, share information, and guide support activities.

Additionally, EEA will manage and regularly update a drought-specific website, and provide the following information:

- Drought maps and any current drought declarations
- Links to relevant data
- Frequently asked questions about the drought
- Information about community water restrictions
- Access to messaging and other outreach material
- Information for private well owners
- Tips on saving water for various sectors
- Information on disaster assistance for drought-affected sectors
- Links to agency drought-related pages
- Case studies of drought response and water conservation successes

The following direct forms of communication will also be utilized, as appropriate:

- Conference calls with municipal officials with the assistance of MEMA
- Regular mailings (e.g., MassDEP mailings to the water supply community after DMTF meetings with updates about drought status, tips, and information on recommended practices)
- Email distribution lists managed by various state agencies
- Outreach to regional planning agencies
- Use of local reverse 911-type systems to deliver messages
- Stage agency social media platforms
- High-visibility public signage, such as on major highways
- Printed material posted or distributed at state properties
- Massachusetts Alerts app (via MEMA) for very severe conditions

## **5.2 Communication with State and Local Entities**

During a drought, EEA and MEMA will jointly communicate with local and state entities on hydrological conditions, drought-level transitions, potential impacts, and appropriate response actions or measures.

### **5.2.1 EEA and MEMA Responsibilities**

Once EEA has determined that any region of the Commonwealth has entered a drought or a new drought level, the following notification steps will be taken:

- EEA will notify state agencies and partners of a drought level transition;

---

<sup>17</sup> WebEOC is a web-based crisis management system designed for supporting the Incident Command System (ICS) method of response management for significant incidents, in addition to providing a unique toolset for supporting Daily Operations in an Emergency Operations Center.

- MEMA will develop a Situational Awareness Statement (SAS) regarding the drought level and hydrological conditions and will coordinate with EEA to disseminate the SAS to local and state stakeholders;
- EEA will update its drought webpage with a drought status report;
- EEA will communicate drought-related guidance and recommendations from the DMTF to state agencies and departments; and
- EEA will provide updates to the appropriate state agencies and departments, as needed.

### 5.2.2 Other State Agencies’ Responsibilities

To ensure that all local stakeholders are notified about drought conditions, specific state agencies will be responsible for communicating with their constituents. Table 8 lists the state agencies and departments responsible for notifying specific local and regional entities. The method of notification will be determined by the responsible agency; however, redundant means of communication are strongly encouraged.

**Table 8. Notification List for State Agencies**

| Entity Receiving Notification  | Agency Providing Notification   |
|--|---|
| Public Water Suppliers   | Department of Environmental Protection<br>Department of Public Utilities      |
| MWRA Community Water Suppliers   | Massachusetts Water Resources Authority                                       |
| Local Boards of Health   | Department of Public Health   |
| Foresters  | Department of Conservation and Recreation                                     |
| Farmers  | Department Agricultural Resources   |
| Other Large Water Users regulated by WMA Program (including industrial consumers and golf courses) | Department of Environmental Protection  |
| Outdoor Recreational Interests   | Department of Fish and Game   |
| Local Fire Departments   | Department of Fire Services<br>DCR Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry |
| Regional Planning Agencies, Watershed Groups and other environmental nonprofits                    | Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs                          |

### 5.3 Communicating with the Public

It is extremely important that accurate and timely information about the current status of drought conditions and the resultant impacts are communicated to the public. Consistent messaging is the key to effective communication with the public. EEA’s press office will be the primary vehicle through which information will be made available to the general public. EEA will partner with other agencies or offices (such as MEMA, Department of Public Health) when jointly released public announcements are needed to bring attention to the situation or to communicate specific response actions.



As needed, EEA will hold a press conference to alert the general public to information about a drought. Additionally, information may be shared through various print and social media outlets and direct communication avenues, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Regular press releases
- Water conservation-related Tweets
- Social media outreach on platforms of all involved state agencies
- Signs on the highways, private digital billboards (through MassDOT’s PSA program), and MassDOT variable messages signs (VMS)
- Flyers and factsheets to hand out at state properties
- Massachusetts Alerts app (via MEMA) for more severe conditions

For impacted businesses, including those in the agricultural sector, EEA will coordinate with state agencies to provide and publicize helpful web-based resources.

Receiving communications from the public is also of critical importance during a drought, to help monitor the extent of impacts. To this end, EEA will use the above communication strategies to build public awareness of the national web-based platform, the Drought Impact Reporter<sup>18</sup>. EEA will encourage its use by the public to document drought impacts, including but not limited to impacts to private wells, fisheries and wildlife, streams and aquatic habitats, crops and agricultural operations, industry, tourism, and recreation.

#### **5.4 Communicating with the Media**

For the media and members of the press, EEA, along with MEMA and other state agencies, will engage in targeted and coordinated communication through:

- Press releases (monthly, or as needed),
- Press conferences by the Governor, Secretary of EEA, Director of MEMA, Commissioners of various state agencies (as needed),
- Interviews and other communication with the press when approached by them.

#### **5.5 Emergency Alert and Notification**

If an emergency condition exists that requires a state response, MEMA may activate the State Emergency Operations Center (SEOC) and will utilize several systems and tools, as outlined in the Commonwealth’s Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP), to notify emergency response partners. These partners come from a myriad of local, state, federal, nonprofit, and private-sector organizations. MEMA will also utilize WebEOC as a primary information sharing and situational awareness tool during response and recovery activities.

Public warnings may be issued when there is a threat to life, property, and safety. When the need to relay a public warning is present, MEMA will coordinate with EEA and all other appropriate

---

<sup>18</sup> <http://droughtreporter.unl.edu/submitreport/>

stakeholders (e.g., Department of Public Health, Department of Fire Services, DCR Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry) to develop public warning messages. MEMA's Communications Center, serving as the state's Primary Warning Point, will disseminate these messages via established public warning systems. MEMA may also disseminate public warnings and messages via smartphone applications, the mass.gov and MEMA websites, and established social media platforms.

## **5.6 Notification of the End of Drought Conditions**

Once a drought is determined to have ended, as described in Section 4.4, EEA and MEMA will engage in public outreach. Additionally, relevant agencies and organizations should communicate this information to their target audiences. This message should not only include an update on the current conditions, but should communicate the need for general conservation measures and emergency planning as part of good water resource management practice.

## **Section 6: Summary of Responsibilities by State Agency**

This section describes in further detail the responsibilities of each state agency on the DMTF. Table 1 in Section 2.4 provides an overview of the responsibilities of state and federal agencies.

### **6.1 Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA)**

As a state cabinet-level office, the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs oversees both environmental and energy agencies, including the Departments of Conservation and Recreation, Energy Resources, Environmental Protection, Agricultural Resources, Fish and Game, and Public Utilities. EEA serves as co-chair, along with the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency, of the Drought Management Task Force, convening DMTF members and considering their technical input in making a decision about the geographical extent and severity of drought conditions.

In general, EEA manages all coordination with the media and is the lead state agency responsible for communicating and coordinating with other state agency stakeholders and partners regarding drought response actions and activities at the state level, and for coordinating with the Governor's office as well as the federal government on technical and financial assistance. In preparation for and during a drought, EEA directs, in coordination with EEA agencies, the development of drought and water management policies; develops the public communications strategy; and generally coordinates and directs the actions of the agencies it oversees.

### **6.2 Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA)**

The Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA) serves as the state agency with primary responsibility for ensuring the Commonwealth's resilience to disasters. MEMA is responsible for coordinating and implementing the statewide emergency management program. The program is supported by local, state, federal, nongovernmental, and private-sector organizations that have capabilities to prevent, mitigate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from emergencies impacting the Commonwealth.

During a drought, MEMA serves as Co-Chair of the Drought Management Task Force coordinating with the EEA to convene DMTF members and facilitate meetings. MEMA also serves in a supportive and coordinating role in drought planning, preparedness, response, and recovery activities as needed. This includes providing planning guidance and support, logistics and resource support, situational awareness management and dissemination, event tracking and documentation, and notification and communications coordination. In addition, MEMA provides support to local authorities as required to address community-specific requirements. These roles are defined further in the Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan.

### **6.3 Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR)**

DCR's **Office of Water Resources (OWR)** manages the state's Precipitation Program, a network of 140 precipitation observation stations, and a precipitation database for research and analysis. DCR uses its precipitation data to calculate a composite of precipitation conditions statewide and in each of the seven drought regions. Staff at OWR also serve as staff to the Massachusetts Water Resources Commission (WRC) and the DMTF. The office prepares a monthly report on Hydrologic Conditions in Massachusetts for the WRC and for the DMTF when it meets. The report summarizes monthly precipitation amounts and trends, streamflow conditions, groundwater and reservoir levels, drought conditions and forecasts from federal agencies, fire danger, and soil moisture via evapotranspiration.

DCR's Office of Water Resources also plays a key internal coordination role, assisting in DMTF meeting preparation and summaries and acting as a liaison between the DMTF co-chairs and the agencies responsible for data collection and analyses. Staff from the Office of Water Resources were also key authors of this plan.

Assessment of fire risk and management of fire control resources is an ongoing activity of DCR's **Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry**. Risk of fires in wild land, rural areas, and state forests and parks are linked to dry conditions, and a drought can affect the availability of water for fire suppression. The Director of Forestry manages state fire suppression resources and coordinates with local, state, and federal agencies and other states on the appropriate resources, as needed. Both before and during a drought, the Bureau of Forest Fire Control and Forestry monitors and reports on the level of fire danger in each drought region.

The DCR **Offices of Engineering and Dam Safety** manage key infrastructure across the state including the operations and maintenance of many flood control structures. As part of their role on the DMTF, the offices will assess conditions and report on the Charles River and Mystic River flood control dams, as well as report on other critical DCR infrastructure.

### **6.4 Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP)**

MassDEP oversees various aspects of water supply, allocation, and resource protection through its Water Management Act (WMA), Drinking Water (DW), and Wetlands programs. The agency also has the authority to issue public health orders, and declare, terminate, and extend a state of water supply

emergency for communities with water supply systems that are experiencing public health or safety threats, including drought conditions and contamination.

The **WMA and DW Programs** track the implementation of nonessential<sup>19</sup> outdoor water use restrictions imposed by public water systems (PWS) across the state. By regulation, any PWS that imposes mandatory outdoor water use restrictions must report this information to MassDEP. MassDEP regularly prepares maps showing the status of non-essential outdoor water use restrictions, and posts this information to the Mass.gov website. WMA program staff and DW program staff identify potential problem areas or identify specific PWS issues. During periods of low streamflow, MassDEP corresponds with WMA permit holders and all PWSs to encourage them to review their WMA permit requirements, encourage additional conservation measures, and suggest that more stringent water-use restrictions be implemented. Staff from both Programs work with PWSs to identify possible emergency situations and solutions, as necessary. This includes emergency reporting requirements; and, for all “community” systems and “Non-transient Non-community water” systems, development of an Emergency Response Plan (ERP) that includes detailed steps the PWS must implement to ensure the continuation of service in the event of a potential or actual emergency, including drought. MassDEP’s authority to declare local water supply emergencies is outlined in detail in Section 10.2.3 of this plan.

During periods of drought, the MassDEP **Wetlands Program** tracks the number of inquiries to MassDEP from external parties (local Conservation Commissions, consultants, and others) regarding perennial streams that have ceased to flow. This information is provided to the DMTF.

## **6.5 Department of Agricultural Resources (DAR)**

Crop losses can pose severe financial constraints on farmers, aquaculturists, and other agricultural businesses. During drought conditions, DAR monitors and reports on the status of crops in each drought region.

In general, the Department encourages farmers to take advantage of agricultural grant opportunities and financial assistance programs offered by DAR, such as funding programs that help to reduce the impacts of climate change on agriculture and to enhance the economic resiliency of agricultural operations.

DAR works with the USDA and UMass Extension, along with the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs and other state agencies, to collect information and monitor drought conditions and to identify any needed resources on behalf of the agricultural community. Regular communications to farmers and the agricultural community are provided through the Department’s email listserv and the Farm and Market Report to highlight any resources that may be available from state and federal agencies. These efforts are combined with encouraging the public to purchase local agricultural products even during drought conditions to help support local farms.

During a drought, DAR is responsible for working with the United States Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Massachusetts Farm Service Agency (FSA) to communicate information from farmers and the agricultural community about agricultural products and livestock that are actually or predicted to be impacted by drought.

DAR works with UMass Extension to distribute a crop loss survey to farmers so they can report directly on crop losses resulting from drought. As a result of reported crop losses, federal assistance may become available through the FSA. The USDA designates counties as primary natural disaster areas based on crop losses caused by drought. A USDA declaration makes farms in designated counties eligible for assistance from FSA, including low-interest emergency loans. In addition, FSA has a variety of assistance programs that are triggered based on the U.S. Drought Monitor and that do not require a disaster declaration.

## **6.6 Department of Fish and Game (DFG)**

The impacts of dry conditions on fisheries and wildlife range from reduced habitat to fish kills, displacement of certain populations of animals, and increased human-wildlife interactions that include mortality or injury, population losses, and inability to find sufficient refugia. Drought can affect fish and wildlife on an individual or population level and can also affect people who rely on adequate water levels for recreation, including fishing, hunting, and boating.

The **Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (DFW)** at DFG serves as first responder to fish kills through a formal interagency Memorandum of Understanding between DFW, MassDEP, DAR, and the Office of Law Enforcement. Other responses to drought include identifying impacts to specific fisheries and wildlife populations as they are developing so that DFG and other agencies, such as local governments or MassDEP, can implement measures to reduce the impacts to these resources. In some cases, population impacts may require regulatory changes to hunting seasons, permits, bag limits, species listing, or site-specific habitat management actions, or the regulatory review of projects that might impact the habitat of state-listed rare species. From a recreational standpoint, drought can severely impact the use of natural resources by hundreds of thousands of people in Massachusetts. For example, boat ramps can become unusable as lake water levels drop and streams or rivers that are fished or boated can become too low for recreation. MassWildlife has the capability to inform the recreational public regarding drought conditions through a variety of social media outlets.

DFG's **Division of Ecological Restoration (DER)** monitors streamflow at sites across Massachusetts to better understand streamflow alteration and document and implement streamflow restoration projects. The majority of sites are located on headwater streams with watersheds smaller than 15 square miles. During dry periods and droughts, DER increases the frequency of data collection, coordinates with project partners on updates on local streamflow conditions and impacts, and provides updates and photos at DMTF meetings. DER also coordinates with partners to adjust streamflow releases based on existing drought management/streamflow release plans.

## **6.7 Department of Public Health (DPH)**

Dry conditions can affect the availability and the quality of water. DPH provides oversight or assistance to local boards of health (BOHs) by transmitting drought-related information and responding to drought-related issues, such as impacts on private wells and recreational water quality impacts. DPH beach regulations require regular water quality monitoring for fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) and public notification of unsafe conditions. On occasion, freshwater waterbodies (with or without beaches) are monitored for harmful algae. When beaches and waterbodies exceed FIB standards or algae guidelines, they are posted with a notice alerting the public to possible risk. BOHs and the Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) are required to report the water quality monitoring data to DPH on an annual basis. During a drought, DPH can also communicate with local BOHs on impacts to private well users and disseminate information and resources to affected communities and/or users.

## **6.8 Department of Public Utilities (DPU)**

The DPU oversees investor-owned water utilities, or every person, partnership, association or corporation, other than a municipal corporation, and other than a landlord supplying a tenant, engaged in the distribution and sale of water in the Commonwealth through its pipes or mains. This excludes municipally owned water systems, water and fire districts, and homeowner associations that provide water service. DPU oversees water companies seeking to alleviate the effects of a long-term drought or frequently occurring droughts by purchasing or taking by eminent domain waters or lands for collecting, storing, holding, purifying, and preserving water within its franchise territory. Also, DPU is able to grant a water company the authority to acquire and hold real estate beyond amounts limited by the company's charter or special laws if DPU finds that such additional real estate is necessary or convenient for the company to carry out the purposes of its organization and the acquisition and holding will not be contrary to the public interest.

## **Section 7: Drought Preparedness and Response Actions of State Agencies**

This section describes the roles and responsibilities of state agencies in preparing for a drought and responding to drought conditions at various levels of intensity.

### **7.1 State Agency Drought Preparedness Actions**

Actions taken before a drought begins ensure that state agencies are positioned to respond effectively when drought conditions emerge. Some actions taken in preparation for a drought, such as development of policies or the implementation of water conservation measures, can help to delay or minimize the impacts of drought. Preparedness actions by state agencies include routine data gathering and analysis; establishing lines of communication among local, state, and federal agencies; developing a public communications and outreach plan; developing policies that will help lessen the effects of drought; and providing technical and financial assistance in implementing sound water conservation and management practices. Table 9 outlines key drought preparedness actions of state agencies.

**Table 9: State Preparedness Actions**

| Action Category                                | Actions   | Responsible Agencies                    |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Data Gathering, Analysis, and Reporting</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect data for calculating six drought indices.</li> <li>• Analyze hydrologic conditions and produce monthly report disseminated through the Water Resources Commission.</li> <li>• Assess monitoring networks for data gaps and data quality. Address identified data needs.</li> <li>• Pursue opportunities, as feasible, to automate field data collection to support more timely and more frequent assessment of hydrologic conditions.</li> </ul>   | DCR                                     |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Track the public water suppliers (PWS) that have reported implementation of voluntary and mandatory water-use restrictions.</li> <li>• Oversee the PWS requirement to have Emergency Response Plans, which includes identification of alternative drinking water supply sources that can be activated in an emergency.</li> <li>• Monitor the statewide progress of PWSs in meeting the performance standards for residential consumption (65 Residential Gallons per Capita per Day-rgpcd) and unaccounted-for water (10%) through review of Annual Statistical Reports.</li> </ul>   | MassDEP                                 |
| <b>Coordination</b>                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Convene meeting of the Drought Management Task Force at least annually, or more often if needed, to assess drought preparedness. Establish lines of communication among members of the Drought Management Task Force and update contact information for effective coordination when a drought is in effect.</li> </ul>   | EEA, MEMA                               |
| <b>Water Conservation</b>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implement the standards and recommendations of the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i> at state facilities.</li> <li>• Widely publicize the Massachusetts drought management nonessential outdoor water-use restrictions.</li> <li>• Work with PWS with performance standards in their Water Management Act permits to achieve compliance.</li> <li>• Coordinate with farmers and growers in the agricultural community to ensure water savings programs are well-publicized and incentivized</li> </ul>   | All agencies<br><br>MassDEP<br><br>MDAR |
| <b>Communication and Public Outreach</b>       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop a communications strategy to inform the public and communities about drought conditions, raise public awareness about the importance of using water wisely, and actions for reducing water use. This strategy may include the following:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Create websites for public outreach and drought impact reporting, including: 1) Up-to-date drought information and links; 2) water conservation tips and resources; and 3) a portal for reporting drought impacts.</li> <li>○ Establish lines of communication with traditional media outlets, including newspapers, television, and radio outlets.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | EEA, MEMA, DCR, MassDEP, DPH            |

| Action Category                   | Actions  | Responsible Agencies                         |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Communication and Public Outreach | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Develop templates and a schedule for using a variety of social media platforms to communicate with the public about drought.</li> <li>○ Develop educational leaflet for private well owners on drought response with actions such as checking well water levels and conserving water.</li> </ul>  |  |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Develop a wildland fire prevention education program.</li> </ul>  | DCR  |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Disseminate the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i> to all public water suppliers and communities; provide online resources and information to accelerate adoption of water conservation practices across all sectors and the general public.</li> </ul>  | EEA, DCR, MassDEP                            |
| Technical Assistance              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Assist Boards of Health in developing requirements to register private wells and in developing mechanisms to track private wells and communicate with private well owners.</li> </ul>   | DPH, MassDEP                                 |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Assist the agricultural sector (including nurseries) on methods to improve water efficiency and reduce water use, including implementing the standards and recommendations of Chapter 8 (Agricultural Water Use) of the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i>.</li> </ul>   | DAR  |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Assist public water suppliers in developing drought preparedness and response plans and water conservation programs.</li> </ul>   | MassDEP, DCR                                 |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Review adequacy and status of intermunicipal agreements and emergency preparedness plans, including the development of emergency connections with neighboring or regional systems such as the MWRA, and provide guidance.</li> <li>● Encourage public water supply (PWS) participation in the voluntary Massachusetts Water/Wastewater Agency Response Network (MAWARN), which allows public water and wastewater systems to receive rapid mutual aid and assistance from other public systems to restore services damaged by natural or human-caused incidents.</li> </ul> | MassDEP, MEMA                                |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Work with regional planning agencies to assist municipal government bodies with developing tools to minimize water use through land-use planning.</li> </ul>  | EEA, DCR                                     |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Assist high water-use industries on measures to reduce water use, including implementing the standards and recommendations of Chapter 7 (Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Water Use) of the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i>.</li> </ul>  | EEA (through Office of Technical Assistance) |
|                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Inform PWS, golf facilities, and others with Water Management Act permits of their water conservation related permit requirements. Where possible, assist golf facilities on methods of reducing water use.</li> </ul>  | MassDEP                                      |



| Action Category       | Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|-----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Technical Assistance  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assist state facilities in implementing the standards and recommendations of the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i>, particularly in Chapters 5 (Residential/Indoor Water Use), 6 (Public Sector Water Use), Chapter 7 (Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Water use) and 9 (Outdoor Water Use).</li> </ul> | DCR, DCAMM           |
| Financial Assistance  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compile and maintain directory of state and federal programs for drought funding assistance.</li> </ul>   | EEA, MEMA, DAR       |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify and develop funding sources to assist communities, public water suppliers, and the private sector in implementing water conservation measures.</li> </ul>  | EEA                  |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identify incentives to encourage use of nonpotable supplies where appropriate.</li> </ul>   | EEA, MassDEP         |
| Policy and Regulatory | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i> every five years. Update as needed.</li> </ul>   | EEA, DCR             |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review the <i>Massachusetts Drought Management Plan: Preparedness and Response</i> after each significant drought or every five years and update as needed.</li> </ul>  | DMTF                 |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Work with the Massachusetts Board of State Examiners of Plumbers and Gas Fitters to improve efficiency standards in the state Plumbing Code.</li> </ul>   | EEA, DCR             |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop recommendations for legislation to implement statewide outdoor water-use controls during drought.</li> </ul>  | EEA                  |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Evaluate barriers to and opportunities for reuse of graywater and reclaimed water. Review regulations and update, if needed.</li> </ul>   | EEA, MassDEP         |

## 7.2 State Agency Drought Response Actions

When drought conditions begin to emerge, the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs convenes the Drought Management Task Force. State agencies continue many of the actions taken to prepare for a drought at a more intense level or on a more frequent basis. Data gathering, analysis, and reporting continue, with an emphasis on determining the extent and severity of drought conditions in each drought region. State agencies also intensify their coordination, communication, and technical and financial assistance actions in response to each drought level. This section outlines key actions of state agencies in response to each level of drought.

### 7.2.1 State Guidance on Nonessential<sup>19</sup> Outdoor Water Use Restrictions

The actions in Table 10 below apply to all outdoor water users and represent one of the most effective ways to minimize the impacts of drought on water supply and the environment. They will also be applied to state entities as outlined in Tables 11a through d.

**Table 10: State Guidance on Nonessential Outdoor Water-Use Restrictions at Various Drought Levels**

| State Drought Condition (by Region) | Nonessential Outdoor Water-Use Restrictions   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Level 1 (Mild Drought)              | 1 day per week watering, after 5 p.m. or before 9 a.m. (to minimize evaporative losses)                   |
| Level 2 (Significant Drought)       | Limit outdoor watering to hand-held hoses or watering cans, to be used only after 5 p.m. or before 9 a.m. |
| Level 3 (Critical Drought)          | Ban on all nonessential outdoor water use   |
| Level 4 (Emergency Drought)         | Ban on all nonessential outdoor water use   |

**7.2.2 Level 1- Mild Drought**

At Drought Level 1, the emphasis is on conservation through education, communication, and planning. The objective is to take action under the precautionary assumption that conditions may deteriorate further. Key response actions that should be undertaken are captured in Table 11a.

**Table 11a: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 1 Mild Drought**

| Action Category                                | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|--|---|----------------------|
| <b>LEVEL 1, MILD DROUGHT</b>                   |   |                      |
| <b>Data gathering, analysis, and reporting</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review data and calculate six drought indices; report on the severity of conditions for each index.</li> <li>Prepare and distribute monthly summary of hydrologic conditions (Current Water Conditions in Massachusetts) to Water Resources Commission and DMTF.</li> <li>Monitor Drought Impact Reporter for public reporting of drought impacts (e.g., to agriculture, private water supplies, fisheries, wildlife, and the environment).</li> </ul> | DCR                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather information and report on the status of drinking water supplies.</li> </ul>   | MassDEP              |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather information and report on impacts to agriculture.</li> </ul>  | DAR                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather information and report on impacts to fisheries and wildlife.</li> </ul>   | DFG                  |
| <b>Coordination</b>                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Convene monthly meetings of DMTF. Members report on affected resources and user groups.</li> </ul>   | EEA, MEMA            |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assess conditions to determine drought level in each drought region. Recommend regional drought-level declarations to EEA Secretary.</li> </ul>  | DMTF                 |

| Action Category                          | Response Actions   | Responsible Agencies |
|--|--|----------------------|
| <b>Water Conservation</b>                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to implement the standards and recommendations of the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i> at state facilities.</li> <li>• Implement the Massachusetts drought management nonessential outdoor water-use restrictions (see Table 10).</li> <li>• Apply the drought management nonessential outdoor water-use restrictions (Table 10, level 1) to all state entities and publicize them for all commonwealth users.</li> <li>• Work with local governments to introduce outdoor watering restrictions, if not already in place.</li> </ul> | All agencies         |
| <b>Communication and Public Outreach</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prepare memorandum on status of situation for Governor’s Office, EEA Secretary, Secretary of Public Safety and Security, and other agencies, as needed.</li> <li>• Implement communications strategy to inform the public about drought conditions, raise awareness about the importance of using water wisely, and strategies for reducing water use.</li> <li>• In coordination with the Governor’s Press Office, issue statewide news release and targeted news releases in impacted geographic regions.</li> </ul>  | EEA                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide updates, as needed, to the state drought status website.</li> </ul>   | DCR                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communicate drought status and recommended actions to stakeholders, including municipalities, water suppliers, agricultural interests, Boards of Health, nonprofit organizations, and wildland fire officials.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
| <b>Technical Assistance</b>              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Host (in coordination with regional planning agencies) regional drought education workshops to guide community drought response efforts.</li> </ul>   | EEA                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue assistance to communities on implementing the <i>Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards</i> and statewide guidance on nonessential outdoor water-use restrictions during a drought (see Table 10).</li> </ul>  | MassDEP, DCR         |
| <b>Financial Assistance</b>              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop recommendations for special legislation and/or state funding to address drought.</li> <li>• Review and confirm options for federal financial assistance for drought.</li> </ul>   | MEMA, EEA, DAR       |
| <b>Policy and Regulatory</b>             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issue reminders to water suppliers with permits under the Water Management Act to adhere to regulatory conditions in their permits related to drought.</li> </ul>   | MassDEP              |

### 7.2.3 Level 2- Significant Drought

At Drought Level 2, conditions are becoming significantly dry. Emphasis continues to be on conservation, and more stringent watering restrictions may be imposed by water service providers. Table 11b outlines key actions that should be undertaken:

**Table 11b: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 2 Significant Drought**

| Action Category                                | Response Actions   | Responsible Agencies |
|--|--|----------------------|
| <b>LEVEL 2, SIGNIFICANT DROUGHT</b>            |  |                      |
| <b>Data gathering, analysis, and reporting</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue data gathering, analysis, and reporting activities of Level 1.</li> <li>• Assess vulnerability of water supplies and environmental resources.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
| <b>Coordination</b>                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue coordination activities of Level 1.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Host conference call with all municipalities to provide consistent information about the drought and mitigating actions.</li> </ul>   | MEMA                 |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contact local Boards of Health to assess public health threats and impacts to private wells and take actions as needed.</li> </ul>  | DPH                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initiate contact and coordination with federal agencies.</li> </ul>   | EEA, DAR             |
| <b>Communication and Public Outreach</b>       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue communication and outreach activities of Level 1.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide content and issue press releases to advise the public of watering restrictions, encourage conservation, and provide updates on local water supply status specific to the community.</li> </ul>  | EEA, MassDEP         |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Utilize Mass 2-1-1, mass notification systems, state websites, and MassDOT billboards to advise the public of current conditions and general conservation measures.</li> </ul>  | MEMA                 |
| <b>Water Conservation</b>                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue water conservation activities of Level 1, including applying the next level of nonessential outdoor water use restrictions (Table 10, level 2).</li> <li>• Advise local governments to implement next-stage watering restrictions.</li> <li>• Provide access to waivers for agricultural producers seeking to meet minimum production levels to maintain farm status for tax purposes, thereby avoiding unnecessary use of water.</li> </ul> | All agencies         |
| <b>Technical Assistance</b>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue technical assistance activities of Level 1.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offer technical assistance to communities on managing systems, including use of emergency connections to systems like the MWRA, and emergency supplies.</li> <li>• Conduct outreach to help ensure that PWSs know how to request a declaration of water supply emergency.</li> </ul>  | MassDEP, MEMA        |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offer technical assistance to the agricultural community on water conservation measures.</li> </ul>   | DAR                  |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Offer assistance to Boards of Health on addressing private well impacts and obtaining emergency water supplies.</li> </ul>  | DPH, MEMA            |
| <b>Financial Assistance</b>                    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Begin process to utilize federal assistance options.</li> </ul>   | MEMA, EEA, DAR       |

| Action Category       | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Policy and Regulatory | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue policy and regulatory activities of Level 1.</li> </ul> | MassDEP              |

#### 7.2.4 Level 3- Critical Drought

At Drought Level 3, many sectors, community functions, and environmental resources are facing critical strain. At this stage, there is an increased reliance on mandatory conservation measures to augment voluntary measures. Coordination efforts are expanded to include neighboring states, and preparations begin for emergency conditions. Table 11c outlines key state actions that should be undertaken:

**Table 11c: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 3 Critical Drought**

| Action Category                         | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|---|---|----------------------|
| <b>LEVEL 3, CRITICAL DROUGHT</b>        |   |                      |
| Data gathering, analysis, and reporting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue data gathering, analysis, and reporting activities of Levels 1 and 2.</li> </ul>  | All agencies         |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review information on availability and use of emergency sources of water.</li> </ul>   | DMTF                 |
| Coordination                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue coordination activities of Levels 1 and 2.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Initiate contact and planning with New England states and New York regarding drought coordination and response.</li> </ul>   | MEMA, EEA            |
| Communication and Public Outreach       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue communication and public outreach activities of Levels 1 and 2.</li> </ul>  | All agencies         |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Prepare Governor’s Proclamation of a State of Emergency.</li> </ul>  | MEMA                 |
| Water Conservation                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue water conservation activities of Levels 1 and 2, including applying the next level of nonessential outdoor water use restrictions (Table 10, level 3).</li> <li>Advise local governments to implement next-stage watering restrictions, including bans on all nonessential outdoor uses<sup>19</sup>.</li> <li>Work with self-supplied users, including private well users, to initiate nonessential water-use restrictions.</li> <li>Advise public water systems and self-supplied water users to minimize and/or eliminate nonessential flushing of water lines.</li> </ul> | All agencies         |

<sup>19</sup> Essential uses are defined by MassDEP as uses required: a) for health or safety reasons; b) by regulation; c) for the production of food and fiber; d) for the maintenance of livestock; or e) to meet the core functions of a business. Nonessential uses are those *other than* essential uses.

| Action Category       | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Technical Assistance  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue technical assistance activities of Levels 1 and 2.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide assistance to communities seeking alternative or temporary water supplies; prepare for emergency response where risk of water supply loss exists.</li> </ul> | MassDEP, MEMA        |
| Financial Assistance  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Coordinate with federal government agencies to request federal assistance.</li> </ul>  | MEMA, EEA, DAR       |
| Policy and Regulatory | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue policy and regulatory activities of Level 1 and 2.</li> </ul>   | MassDEP              |

### 7.2.5 Level 4- Emergency Drought

At the Emergency Drought Level 4, the state may face failure of local or regional drinking water supplies, impairment or loss of supply for firefighting, major agricultural and business losses, and impairment or loss of critical natural resources. In this situation, the objective becomes to implement emergency response actions to protect public health and safety and critical environmental resources, and to minimize losses and damages resulting from drought. Key state actions that should be undertaken in an Emergency Drought are described in Table 11d. Specific emergency authorities and powers are further described in Section 10.

**Table 11d: State Agency Drought Response Actions during a Level 4 Emergency Drought**

| Action Category                         | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|---|---|----------------------|
| <b>LEVEL 4, EMERGENCY DROUGHT</b>       |   |                      |
| Data gathering, analysis, and reporting | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue data gathering, analysis, and reporting activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3.</li> </ul>  | All agencies         |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provide a minimum of bi-weekly reports of drought conditions to EEA. Significant changes in drought conditions will be reported weekly.</li> </ul> | DMTF                 |
| Coordination                            | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue coordination activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3.</li> </ul>   | All agencies         |
|   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update the Governor's Office on drought conditions on a weekly basis.</li> </ul>   | EEA                  |

| Action Category                          | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies |
|--|---|----------------------|
| <b>Coordination</b>                      | <p>As needed, confer with state agency partners and local authorities from impacted/threatened communities to determine whether precautionary or protective measures need to be implemented to protect the health and safety of the general public.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As warranted, activate Regional Emergency Operations Centers (REOC) and/or the State Emergency Operations Center (SEOC) to coordinate state operations in support of affected communities and mobilize needed resources.</li> <li>• As warranted, activate the appropriate Massachusetts Emergency Support Functions (MAESFs) at the SEOC to support and coordinate response missions related to preservation of life, protection of property, and implementation of post-drought actions and activities.</li> <li>• As needed, utilize WebEOC to provide continuous situational awareness.</li> <li>• As needed, issue emergency notification to local and state agencies and non-governmental organizations.</li> <li>• As needed, issue public warnings in accordance with the state’s Emergency Communications and Warning Annex.</li> </ul> | MEMA                 |
| <b>Communication and Public Outreach</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue communication and public outreach activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3.</li> </ul>  | All agencies         |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finalize Governor’s Proclamation of a State of Emergency to use state emergency authorities and powers to restrict water uses and implement measures to provide emergency water supplies.</li> </ul>   | MEMA,                |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issue weekly press releases targeted to drought emergency areas and encourage local media outlets to publicize updates.</li> </ul>   | Governor’s Office    |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inform all local Boards of Health within the drought emergency area of the drought emergency status.</li> </ul>  | MDPH                 |
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inform all public water suppliers within the drought emergency area of the drought emergency status.</li> </ul>  | MassDEP              |
| <b>Water Conservation</b>                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue water conservation activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3, including applying the next level of Nonessential Outdoor Water Use Restrictions (Table 10, level 4).</li> <li>• All agencies and institutions will implement drought water conservation and contingency plans with the goal of reducing water usage by at least 15% and on a continued graduated basis as conditions worsen.</li> <li>• All self-supplied users, including private well users, will continue mandatory nonessential water use restrictions</li> <li>• All public water systems and self-supplied water users eliminate nonessential flushing of water lines.</li> <li>• Implement reductions of essential water use to the extent feasible.</li> </ul>  | All agencies         |

| Action Category              | Response Actions  | Responsible Agencies    |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| <b>Technical Assistance</b>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue technical assistance activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>• Work with communities to establish and enable emergency water supply connections to neighboring communities and regional systems such as the MWRA.</li> </ul>   | All agencies            |
| <b>Financial Assistance</b>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work to secure state/federal emergency funding and/or legislation.</li> </ul>  | EEA, MEMA, DAR, MassDEP |
| <b>Policy and Regulatory</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue policy and regulatory activities of Levels 1, 2, and 3.</li> </ul>  | MassDEP                 |
|                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For the duration of the declared drought emergency, the Department of Fire Services shall be authorized to declare open burning bans in wildfire-susceptible areas of the Commonwealth.</li> </ul>   | DFS, DCR                |
|                              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For the duration of the declared drought emergency, the Department of Transportation shall be authorized to grant temporary overweight/overwidth/registration/license exemptions to carriers transporting essential emergency relief supplies into and through the Commonwealth in order to support the disaster response and recovery.</li> </ul> | MassDOT                 |

## Section 8: Drought Preparedness and Response Actions – Guidance for Communities

### 8.1 Community Drought Preparedness Actions

Everyone has a role in preparing for and responding to a drought. This section identifies key actions that can be taken at the local level before a drought (to prepare) and during a drought (to respond), along with resources to implement key actions.

The primary audience for this section is municipalities and/or public water systems. A secondary audience includes partners that can support and assist with implementation, including environmental groups, concerned citizens, and local boards such as the planning board, conservation commission, and town select board or city council. At the local and regional level, water suppliers will remain responsible for ensuring that water supplies are adequate to maintain public health and safety. Local governments and water suppliers should monitor their situation closely to ensure that mitigation measures undertaken are sufficient to prevent the loss or failure of water supplies. In the event that loss of supply occurs or is forecast, water suppliers should follow the steps in their Emergency Response and Contingency Plan as required by MassDEP. Depending on circumstances, it may be



necessary to impose comprehensive and closely monitored watering restrictions, allocate water on a per capita basis, or seek use of alternative water supplies.

Planning is the key word. One of the most important actions a community can take to prepare for a drought is to develop a local drought plan to guide actions before, during, and after a drought. A critical companion to the local drought plan is a Water Conservation Program, which will help conserve water at all times, but especially during a drought. The Water Conservation Program should be developed before the local drought plan because it will inform the plan. Each of these requires significant effort to develop and establish. When the next drought is experienced, appropriate tools and programs will be in place to respond without delay and minimize impacts and disruptions to municipal services, businesses, and residents.

Below is a brief introduction to important components of a Water Conservation Program and a Drought Management Plan, along with resources for additional guidance.

### **8.1.1 Develop a Water Conservation Program**

Establishing a year-round Water Conservation Program should be thought of as part of drought management planning. Water conservation is one of the most important tools for both preparing for and responding to a drought. Year-round water conservation, including public education and communication, will help reduce baseline demand. This reduction may lead to greater water supply reserves, creating greater resilience during droughts. A Water Conservation Program also establishes channels of communication with the public, which can be used during a drought.

The *Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards* (MA WCS, updated in 2018) provide a broad overview of key water conservation actions for the many types of water users in the state. Highlighted below are four of the most important actions municipalities and water suppliers should include in a Water Conservation Program to support drought preparedness and response.

#### **1. Implement an Outdoor Water Use Program**

Note: Please work with your local planning board and other appropriate local authorities to review the options and ideas presented here and in Chapter 9 and Appendices B, E, H, I, and J of the MA WCS.

**Authority:** The first step of an outdoor water use program should be to establish legal authority to limit nonessential outdoor water use. Nonessential outdoor watering is defined by MassDEP as uses not required by regulation, for health or safety reasons, for production of food and fiber, for maintenance of livestock, or to meet the core functions of a business (for example, irrigation by plant nurseries as necessary to maintain stock). Whether through a bylaw, ordinance or other mechanism, establishing authority can take time, so it is important to complete this step prior to the start of a drought. See MassDEP's Model Outdoor Water Use By-Law/Ordinance at <https://www.mass.gov/service-details/model-water-use-restriction-by-law-ordinance-update>.

**Irrigation System Efficiency:** The outdoor water use program should address the use and efficiency of irrigation systems. A key factor in improving efficiency is proper design, installation and auditing by professionals holding the appropriate certifications (by nationally recognized certification programs, such as EPA WaterSense-labeled certification programs). In addition, some municipalities have chosen not to allow the installation of new irrigation systems. See MA WCS Chapter 9 and Appendix I for more information.

**Land Use Planning:** The outdoor water use program should support land use planning and landscaping that minimizes water use. Urbanization and impervious surfaces reduce the capacity of the land to infiltrate water. So reducing effective imperviousness of land and employing Low Impact Development techniques in all new development and redevelopment, preserving natural vegetation, and using native plants all help in increasing recharge and minimizing the impacts of droughts. There are bylaws, ordinances, policies or regulations that can encourage or require development and landscaping that minimize site alterations and preserve or restore healthy soils and plant communities appropriate to the Massachusetts climate. Please work with your local planning board and other appropriate local authorities to review the options and ideas presented in Chapter 9 and Appendices B and H of the MA WCS.

## **2. Implement an Indoor Water Use Program**

The scope of an indoor program will be specific to circumstances in each community, but focusing on high-efficiency plumbing products and appliances is likely to achieve significant water and energy savings. Toilets, showers, and faucets alone make up 62% of indoor use. The Environmental Protection Agency's WaterSense program labels products and services using rigorous water efficiency, performance, and testing requirements. See Chapter 5 and Appendices A, C, D, and E of the MA WCS for more information on how to encourage greater indoor efficiencies by end users.

## **3. Implement a Water Loss Control Program**

System efficiency is always important, but especially so during a drought. A water loss control program ensures that water entering a distribution system is efficiently delivered to each point of use. Water loss control measures typically fall into two categories: 1) accounting for the water distributed in the system with accurate and comprehensive metering and record-keeping, and 2) managing the infrastructure to prevent the physical loss of water. Developing a Water Loss Control Program takes time, and system managers must continually evaluate measures of their effectiveness and make adjustments as needed. Therefore, it is important to establish such a program before the onset of a drought. See Chapters 2 and 3 of the MA WCS for more information.

## **4. Provide Incentives for Water Efficiency through Effective Water Rates**

Developing an effective price signal can be challenging but is worthwhile, because the price of water is an important driver of water conservation behavior. More discretionary uses of water (such as lawn watering and car washing) are the most responsive to price signals. A variety of water rate structures exist that encourage conservation and efficient use year-round as well as additional conservation during drought. Such rates can be set to provide financial sustainability even with reduced demand.

Additionally, a number of billing strategies can encourage conservation. See Chapter 4, Pricing, in the MA WCS for more information on these rates and strategies.

### **8.1.2 Develop a Local Drought Management Plan**

A local drought management plan will strengthen a community's ability to provide enough water during a drought. A DMP will outline key actions to be taken in preparation for and during a drought and identify who is responsible for coordinating and implementing the actions. Multiple levels of drought should be defined in the DMP to reflect increasingly severe conditions. Each drought level can then be assigned escalating levels of actions that are appropriate to each drought level. The following summary of planning steps was adapted from the American Water Works Association's 2011 *Drought Preparedness and Response Manual of Water Supply Practices M60*. It gives a snapshot of the process, but those developing a plan should review the full M60 manual and other available guidance referenced in this section for explanations and details.

#### **1. Form a drought response team and establish coordination and communication strategies**

This step involves forming a team and establishing priority objectives and a schedule for completing the plan. The team should outline coordination and communication plans within and between municipal departments, with the community, and among all relevant partner agencies and organizations.

**Team Members:** M60 notes that every department of the utility will be involved in developing and implementing the plan. In smaller systems, this may mean only one or two people do all the work. In medium to large systems, the team members will likely include multiple departments (such as the General Manager, Administration, Billing, Customer Service, legal staff, etc.). In addition, municipal departments should generally also be included, such as Finance, Public Works, Fire, Health and Human Services, Emergency Management, Board of Health, Parks and Recreation, Conservation Commission, and Planning.

**Schedule:** M60 includes a detailed plan development schedule that can be used to set completion dates for each plan element. It also notes that plan development typically takes three to six months of dedicated effort.

**Coordination and Communication:** M60 emphasizes that a good plan is contingent upon internal and external coordination and communication and highlights items for the team to consider, such as:

1. Establish a community advisory committee.
2. If the water supplier is a city or town, include coordination actions with departments such as parks, fire, and the office of emergency services.
3. Identify external partners, such as state agencies and local watershed groups, and include coordination and communication with them.
4. Establish a public communication program.

#### **2. Forecast Supply in Relation to Demand**

The goal of this step is to develop a reasonable estimate of how much water will be available under various shortage conditions, including multi-year droughts. This step involves supply and demand

data collection and analyses, looking ahead to any projected changes in supply or demand, and conducting analyses to help identify and quantify potential supply shortfalls under various scenarios of drought and supply interruptions. M60 notes that projections based on historical data may not be sufficiently protective, and suggests adding an uncertainty factor. For example, planning for the drought of record may no longer be sufficient, given the possibility of back-to-back drought periods that do not allow time to replenish regular and emergency supplies.

### **3. Assess Options for Balancing Supply and Demand**

This step focuses on two general types of drought mitigation options: reducing customer demand and augmenting supply. It involves identifying a range of demand reduction and supply augmentation options to address shortage scenarios.

***Demand Reduction:*** Ideally, a demand reduction program during a drought will draw on tools, programs, and experience developed through an existing year-round Water Conservation Program, which is described above in section 8.1.1. Demand reduction actions during a drought should be intensified as drought levels increase as part of a Staged Drought Response Program (see step 5).

Numerous demand reduction examples are provided in M60 and the *Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards* ranging from conservation pricing to setting emergency rationing allocations. Here we highlight 11 actions that represent a range of options for inclusion in a Staged Demand Reduction Program. Some of these actions represent good practices for water conservation every day and could be part of the year-round Water Conservation Program. However, at a minimum, an appropriate combination of these actions should be implemented under drought conditions to address projected shortfalls.

1. Adopt and implement the state's nonessential outdoor water use restrictions as outlined in Table 10 or a more updated version developed by EEA. Where appropriate and in response to local supply conditions, local governments may impose restrictions more stringent than these.
2. Communicate need for and importance of drought response actions (e.g., to ensure adequate water for fire protection, drinking water, and natural habitats). Evaluate and utilize all appropriate means of communication.
3. Limit or prohibit: installation of new sod, seeding, and/or landscaping; watering during or within 48 hours after measurable rainfall; washing of hard surfaces (sidewalks, patios, driveways, siding); personal vehicle or boat washing; operation of non-recirculating fountains; filling of swimming pools, hot tubs, and backyard informal rinks.
4. Promote or offer loans or rebates for removal of high-water-use plants and sod, and replacement with less water-intensive vegetation.
5. Provide incentives for installing efficient irrigation technologies.
6. Provide assistance with installation of water-efficient fixtures and appliances, and leak repair.
7. Implement or increase incentives for indoor and/or outdoor water audits.
8. Implement drought surcharge or seasonal water rates.
9. Establish water-use reduction targets for all water users.
10. Identify top water users and conduct targeted outreach to help curb their use.

11. Enforce water-use restrictions with increasingly stringent penalties.

**Supply Augmentation:** M60 classifies methods of supply augmentation into three groups:

1. **Your Sources:** increase existing supplies, draw from reserve supplies, or develop new supplies for redundancy and/or to serve as a backup during drought;
2. **Efficiency:** increase supplier water use efficiency through a water loss control program; and
3. **Other Sources:** cooperate with other agencies (water purchases, transfers, and interconnections to supplement local supplies and/or provide for emergency connections).

Examples within each group are provided in M60, along with the guidance that even minimal supply augmentation programs, while they may be difficult and time consuming to establish, have been helpful in water-shortage situations.

#### **4. Establish Triggering Levels**

This step identifies the specific conditions that will trigger each of the drought levels. First, the number of drought levels must be chosen. DMPs often have three to five drought levels or stages that reflect the severity of conditions and associated actions. The number of drought levels will depend on a number of factors, such as the number of times different actions or different levels of actions are desired in response to worsening conditions. However, there should not be so many drought levels that levels change too frequently for actions to be adjusted by municipal staff and the public. Once the number of levels is decided, setting triggers for each level would include:

1. Identifying indicators for drought conditions (e.g., precipitation, groundwater depths, reservoir levels, streamflow);
2. Determining values for each indicator that define increasing levels of drought; and
3. Establishing a monitoring plan for the indicators including potentially increasing frequency of monitoring during a drought.

In addition to reviewing M60, please follow Massachusetts-specific guidance for Public Water Suppliers presented in Appendix I.

#### **5. Develop a Staged Drought Response**

In this step, each drought level or stage is assigned response actions. Specifically, the plan should establish water savings goals for each drought level tied to the anticipated supply shortfalls, and identify actions that will achieve those savings. Information from Steps 3 and 4 will inform the actions and the levels of the actions that are necessary for each drought stage. The example in Table 12 shows how coordination, demand reduction, supply augmentation, and communication actions could be organized in an overall staged response matrix in your plan. A complete drought response matrix would include additional actions for each category.

#### **6. Adopt the Plan**

The adoption of the plan should formalize all plan elements and cooperative arrangements. This step includes engaging the community, preparing a revenue management program, formalizing cooperative agreements, and reviewing and finalizing the plan.

**Engage the Community:** M60 emphasizes that involvement of customers is critical to create a program that the community understands, contributes to, and supports. Opportunities to engage should include presenting a draft plan at several community forums and making the plan available for public review.

**Prepare a Revenue Management Program:** Reduction in water use can result in a revenue shortfall if your rates and budget do not have a mechanism to minimize or avoid such shortfall. Therefore, it is important to include financial planning as part of developing your drought plan. M60 describes several ways of balancing costs and revenues, including modifying water rates, adding a water shortage surcharge, and tapping financial reserves (such as those in a general or water revenue fund or a water shortage emergency account). M60 Table 6-2 shows an example budget worksheet projecting the financial effects of four stages of a drought program and discusses options for keeping the budget balanced.

**Formalize Cooperative Agreements:** This step includes adopting any draft ordinances or interagency/inter-municipal agreements that are part of your plan. Confirming agreements can take time, so it is best done in advance to enable rapid response during an emergency.

**Review and Finalize Plan:** M60 strongly recommends that the plan be subjected to a formal public review process to help minimize future objections when mandatory provisions are needed. This should include several public meetings following sufficient public notice.

## **7. Implement the Plan**

At this point, all staffing needs, budget, and funding considerations should be resolved. M60 provides several helpful checklists that outline categories of resources needed for implementation (such as office space, staff levels and budget, and customer assistance) and provides an example of specific drought implementation program needs for a community of 75,000 people.

Once the plan is adopted, it should be periodically reviewed to ensure that it meets its objectives. In addition, review should be completed if significant changes occur (e.g., in demand, supply, operations) or results during a drought are not satisfactory.

## **8.2 Community Drought Response Actions**

Local authorities that may be involved in drought management include municipal governments, water suppliers, local public safety, local and regional health authorities, local planning boards and conservation commissions, Tribal Councils, and other regional agencies with responsibilities for water. During a drought, the general roles and responsibilities of local authorities may include the following:

- Gathering available drought information for the community and identifying information gaps
- Identifying vulnerable aquatic ecosystems
- Implementing the local drought plan (Section 8.1.2), and the water conservation program (Section 8.1.1)
- Managing community water supplies

**Table 12: Example Staged Drought Response Matrix\***

|                           |  | STATE DROUGHT LEVEL and DESCRIPTION   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| ACTIONS                   |  | Level 1:  | Level 2:   | Level 3:   | Level 4:   |
|                           |  | MILD DROUGHT  | SIGNIFICANT DROUGHT  | CRITICAL DROUGHT   | EMERGENCY DROUGHT  |
| Reservoir Trigger(s)      |  | Fill in if using local reservoir triggers for staged drought response                           |  |  |  |
| Groundwater Trigger(s)    |  | Fill in if using local groundwater triggers for staged drought response                         |  |  |  |
| Coordination              | Drought Management Team (DMT)                  | Convene Monthly DMT Meetings  | Biweekly or weekly DMT Meetings                                  | Weekly or Daily DMT Meetings   | Daily DMT Meetings   |
| Demand Management         | Nonessential Outdoor Watering                  | 1 day per week watering, before 9 am and after 5 pm.  | Hand-held watering only, before 9 am and after 5pm               | No nonessential outdoor water use  | No nonessential outdoor water use                                |
|                           | New sod, seeding, and landscaping              | Follow best management practices for efficient watering.  | Installation of new sod, seeding, and landscaping is discouraged | Installation of new sod, seeding, and landscaping is strongly discouraged. | Installation of new sod, seeding, and landscaping is prohibited. |
|                           | Water Savings Goal                             | reduce use by __%   | reduce use by __%  | reduce use by __%  | reduce use by __%  |
| Water Supply Augmentation | Interconnections/Backup and Emergency Supplies | Review/test backup supplies   | Prepare for/ possible activation of backup supplies              | Possible activation of backup supplies                                     | Activate backup supplies   |
| Communication             | Website/Press/Social Media                     | Update website and social media with latest information on drought status and restrictions/tips | Weekly Tweets on Water Conservation                              | Press Events and Weekly or Daily Social Media Updates                      | Daily Communication using all tools                              |

\*A complete drought response matrix would include additional actions for each category

- Providing timely information to the public about water supplies, low stream flows, projected flow levels without water conservation efforts, public health risks, and drought conditions
- Communicating with the appropriate state agencies in the coordination of drought response
- Coordinating with local water agencies/suppliers to ensure local systems can provide water sufficiently to meet public health and safety needs
- Establishing MOUs or emergency contracts for potable drinking water, as needed.
- Imposing water restrictions and other measures early so that serious deficits, pressure problems, environmental impacts, or water quality issues are avoided to the greatest extent possible.

### **8.2.1 Local or Regional Water Agencies/Suppliers**

- In conjunction with MassDEP and DPU, manage systems to ensure that they can provide water sufficiently to meet public health and safety needs.
- Systems (like the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) water supply system), which serve areas outside their watersheds, may assess their water supply conditions and initiate their own plan based on the capacities of their system, in addition to the regional indices.
- Implement up-to-date emergency response plans.
- Educate the public and elected officials on the need to impose water restrictions and other measures early so that serious deficits, pressure problems, environmental damage, or water quality issues are avoided to the greatest extent possible.
- During dry conditions, coordinate with local government to request mandatory or voluntary reductions in water use and/or declare a local water emergency (either under local bylaw or through petition to the MassDEP) based on the status of local water supplies.

### **8.2.2 Local Public Health and Safety Agencies**

- Coordinate with other members of local government to provide timely information to the public about water supplies, public health risks, water conservation efforts, and drought.
- Communicate with self-supplied households on the status of their water systems and provide technical assistance as needed.
- Communicate with the appropriate state agencies in the coordination of drought response.
- Provide technical assistance as needed.
- Coordinate with local water agencies/suppliers to ensure local systems can provide water sufficiently to meet public health and safety needs.
- Establish MOUs or emergency contracts for potable drinking water, as needed.

## **Section 9: Post-Drought Actions**

Following the end of a drought, emphasis should shift to maintaining the resources affected and to applying the lessons learned to improve long-term water sustainability. Tasks include the following:

- The DMTF recommends an end to existing drought recommendations and notes return to Normal Conditions, Level 0.
- The state continues to monitor hydrological conditions and maintains the long-term monitoring network.
- Water suppliers should restore operations and ensure that drought-driven system improvements and modifications are in compliance with relevant standards and regulations.
- State and federal data and information providers may review the effectiveness of systems to monitor and characterize stream flows, reservoir levels, and groundwater levels during the drought and implement any identified improvements.
- The Drought Management Mission Group, the DMTF, and other involved parties should hold an After Action Review (AAR) to assess the equity, efficiency, and effectiveness of



communications, information, actions, and monitoring that were undertaken. Lessons learned should be documented. This might result in recommended improvements to:

- Local Drought Management Plans
  - Functions of the Drought Management Mission Group and the DMTF
  - The Massachusetts Drought Management Plan
  - Other state policies, guidelines, and fact sheets
  - Amendments to state legislation and municipal bylaws
- All entities revisit water conservation strategies and reduction targets.
  - All entities work to continuously improve water-use efficiency.

## Section 10: Drought and Emergency Declarations: Legal Authorities and Powers

The following section discusses the local, state, and federal authorities and powers related to drought situations. This section provides a general summary of the laws applicable to drought issues. However, the appropriate legal staff should be consulted in advance of the use of any of these powers by a state agency or municipal government.

### 10.1 Local Government

Municipal governments are critically important to managing drought, assessing the impact of drought conditions, and using their authority to respond to the impacts.

- Municipalities are authorized to adopt and implement bylaws or ordinances in appropriate circumstances such as during a drought.
- Municipalities may regulate through such bylaws or ordinances the use of water from public or private water systems, including voluntary or mandatory water-use restrictions.
- When determined by MassDEP that an emergency exists in the case of a drought or disaster, a municipality may, following appropriate notice, regulate or otherwise restrain the use of water on public or private property (regardless of whether the supply source is public or private) pursuant to G.L. c. 40, § 41A, even in the absence of an established bylaw or ordinance. Additionally, once a state of water emergency is declared and MassDEP has approved a plan to address the emergency, the operator of the public water system may take by eminent domain the right to use any land for the time necessary to use water on the land for addressing the emergency. M.G.L. c. 21G, § 16. (See section 10.2.3 for more detail.)
- Municipalities, particularly those that experience chronic water shortages, are encouraged to promulgate bylaws or ordinances to address necessary rules for responding to an actual or threatened drought condition. A model water-use restriction bylaw is provided in Appendix G of this plan.

In the event of a declared emergency, a municipality may, generally, raise, appropriate, and expend money for the purposes of maintaining, distributing, and providing at reasonable rates a sufficient supply of the common necessities of life, which include water.

## **10.2 State Government**

The Commonwealth has several established authorities related to responding to drought conditions. The legislature has placed the primary statutory-based drought management authorities at the disposal of the Governor and the MassDEP.

### **10.2.1 Governor-Declared State of Emergency**

Chapter 639 of the Acts of 1950, as amended by Chapter 425 of the Acts of 1958, (the “Civil Defense Act” or “CDA”) allows the Governor to proclaim a state of emergency to address certain conditions in all or in any part of the Commonwealth. When it may be reasonably anticipated that the health, safety, or property of the citizens will be endangered, the Governor may declare the existence of such an emergency situation due to a shortage of water resulting from an absence of rainfall or from the occurrence of a disaster or catastrophe of natural causes. CDA, Section 5. A proclamation of such a state of emergency provides the Governor with expansive power, authority, and discretion to address and resolve the declared emergency.

During a state emergency, section 5(a) of the CDA authorizes the Governor to employ every agency and the members of every department to protect the lives and property of the citizens and to enforce the law. Under section 5(b) of the CDA, the Governor may, in the event of a disaster or shortage that makes such action necessary for the protection of the public, take possession of land and many types of personal property. The owner of any such property taken, however, is entitled to reasonable compensation for its value. Section 7 of the CDA allows the Governor “to exercise any and all authority over persons and property, necessary or expedient for meeting the state of emergency,” including for example, policing, protecting or preserving all property, public or private. The Governor also has and can exercise authority over the “maintenance, extension or interconnection of services of public utility or public-service companies, including public utility services owned or operated by the commonwealth or any political subdivision thereof.” This broad authority should provide the Governor the power to take necessary steps, such as restraining the use of water on private property, to address an actual or threatened drought.

In addressing threatened disaster such as a water shortage, pursuant to section 4 of the CDA, the Governor has the authority to cooperate with federal authorities and other states, propose a comprehensive plan and program, conduct studies and surveys, ascertain the capabilities of the Commonwealth, and delegate any such administrative authority provided to him under the Civil Defense Act. Finally, pursuant to Section 8 of the CDA, the Governor may exercise, any power conferred under the CDA, either under an actual proclamation of a state of emergency or in reasonable anticipation of such a proclamation, by issuing executive orders or promulgating regulations.

### **10.2.2 Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency**

MEMA is responsible for direction and control of all state emergency operations as outlined in Chapter 639, Acts of 1950. The Massachusetts Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) is the framework for managing preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation actions at all levels

of government in the Commonwealth. Utilizing the CEMP, MEMA coordinates state, federal, and private resources with regard to planning, response and recovery activities.

In the event a declaration of a state of emergency is warranted as a result of drought conditions, the CEMP is the framework for response and recovery actions. Executive Order 144 (issued in 1978 to facilitate planning for, and operations during disasters and emergencies) requires state agencies to designate liaison officers to MEMA for the purposes of coordinating resources, training, and operations. MEMA is authorized to deploy the resources which their organizations can provide to local governments during emergencies or disasters. A list of the agencies and their responsibilities is available in the CEMP.

### **10.2.3 Department of Environmental Protection**

MassDEP's authority for addressing water supply shortage emergencies is derived from the Water Management Act, M.G.L. c. 21G, §§ 15-17, and from M.G.L. c. 111, § 160, related to ensuring the provision of safe drinking water.

Any operator of a public water system, such as a municipality, water company or other public agency, may petition MassDEP to declare a state of water emergency (M.G.L. c. 21G, § 15). In declaring such a state, MassDEP must find that "there exists or impends a water supply shortage of a dimension which endangers the public health, safety or welfare." MassDEP must limit the applicability of the state of water emergency to the petitioning municipality or to the area served by the petitioning public water supplier, whichever the case.

In declaring a state of water emergency, MassDEP may require the operator of the public water system to submit a plan, which must be approved by MassDEP, designed to address and resolve the emergency. This plan may include provisions for shutting off water on public or private property, and MassDEP may further require the following: (1) an approved water resources management plan; (2) a leak detection program; (3) a program for auditing water use; (4) a program for overall system rehabilitation; (5) conservation programs for public and private buildings; (6) bans or restrictions on certain water uses; (7) a moratorium on the issuance of building permits; (8) a plan for establishing priority for distribution of water among competing uses; and, (9) drought management or contingency plans.

Once a state of water emergency is declared and MassDEP has approved a plan to address the emergency, the operator of the public water system may take by eminent domain the right to use any land for the time necessary to use water on the land for addressing the emergency (M.G.L. c. 21G, § 16). In the case of a water emergency affecting the MWRA system, DCR, rather than MWRA, has such eminent domain authority. This eminent domain authority is narrower than that which the Governor may exercise following a declaration of a state of emergency under the Massachusetts Civil Defense Act (Chapter 639 of the Acts of 1950). Further, any such taking by eminent domain must be authorized by MassDEP and, except in the case of a private water company, the municipality in which the proposed taking will occur. Water companies must give notice to the affected municipality.

During a state of water emergency, MassDEP may issue orders, applicable within or outside the affected area of the water emergency, to: (1) establish priorities for the distribution of any water or quantity of water use; (2) permit any person engaged in the operation of a water supply system to reduce or increase by a specified amount or to cease the distribution of that water; to distribute a specified amount of water to certain users as specified by the department; or to share any water with other water supply systems; (3) direct any person to reduce, by a specified volume, the withdrawal or use of any water; or to cease the withdrawal or use of any water; (4) require the implementation of specific water conservation measures; and, (5) mandate the denial, for the duration of the state of water emergency, of all applications for withdrawal permits within the areas of the Commonwealth to which the state of water emergency applies.

MassDEP is also granted broad powers to protect the public health through the oversight of water supplies as provided in M.G.L. c. 111, § 160. This section gives the Department the ability to “...make rules and regulations and issue such orders as in its opinion may be necessary to prevent the pollution and to secure the sanitary protection of all such waters used as sources of water supply and to ensure the delivery of a fit and pure water supply to all consumers.” Violation of orders, rules or regulations made pursuant to this statute are punishable by either fines of up to \$25,000 per day for each day a violation occurs or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both; or by a civil penalty up to \$25,000 per day for each day a violation occurs.

#### **10.2.4 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority**

The MWRA, which serves the water needs of many municipalities in the metropolitan Boston area, is generally authorized to develop programs, procedures and regulations for water conservation, leak detection and repair - M.G.L. c. 92 App., § 1-8(m) (1998). Such programs and regulations may also provide for “water use limitations in the time of drought or other emergency.” With respect to water emergencies, MWRA and its communities have the power to provide connection and supply to adjoining communities under an order from MassDEP with appropriate compensation to MWRA - Section 8 (d). This is parallel to MassDEP's powers under c. 21G, but does not go beyond them. Further, in an emergency situation, MWRA is authorized to incur expenses in excess of those shown in its annual budget - M.G.L. c. 92 App., § 1-8(b) (1998).

The MWRA has developed a drought management plan for its system that is tailored to the capacity of its system. The MWRA has daily on-line tracking of its system, including reservoir levels and system demand, as well as sophisticated modeling ability to predict the ability of the MWRA system to meet short- and long-term demands. See the MWRA drought management plan for more details.

MWRA is charged with promoting water conservation, protecting the adequacy of a pure water supply and improving environmental quality under M.G.L. c. 92 § 8(e) and has general authority to promote leak detection and water conservation through its regulations, charges and other programs under Section 8(m). Section 8(m) specifically includes water use limitations in time of drought or other emergency.

### **10.2.5 Department of Public Health**

The Department of Public Health (DPH) has broad authority over matters affecting public health and is mandated to “take cognizance of the interests of life, health, comfort and convenience among the citizens of the commonwealth” - M.G.L. c.111 § 5. DPH is specifically mandated to “conduct sanitary investigations and investigations as to the causes of disease...” - M.G.L. c. 111 § 5. With regard to water quality, MassDEP is required to report to DPH any violations of MassDEP regulations relating to drinking water quality standards and, based upon that report or upon its own investigation, DPH may order the appropriate party to cease violating the water quality standards and take whatever steps are necessary to purify the water. If any such order of DPH conflicts with any order of MassDEP, the order of DPH takes precedence - M.G.L. c. 111 § 160B. MassDEP regulations require MassDEP to report to DPH all violations of MassDEP drinking water regulations and to consult with DPH with regard to enforcement actions taken to obtain compliance with MassDEP drinking water regulations 310 CMR 22.03(4).

In addition, pursuant to M.G.L. c. 111 §127A, DPH has promulgated State Sanitary Code Chapter II, entitled Minimum Standards of Fitness for Human Habitation, which requires all owners of residential dwellings to provide a potable water supply - 105 CMR 410.180. Local boards of health have primary authority to enforce the sanitary code requirements, but DPH may enforce these regulations if the local board of health fails to act.

### **10.2.6 Department of Public Utilities**

The DPU’s authority for addressing water supply emergencies is derived from M.G.L. c. 25, § 4B. Upon declaration of a state of emergency by the governor, the governor may authorize the chair of the DPU to take such action that the chair may consider necessary to assure public safety and welfare through the priority restoration or continuing availability of gas, electric, and water utility services. Under such authority, the chair may issue operational and management directives and order expenditures or other measures by any investor-owned utility that the chair considers necessary to respond to the state of emergency. Any orders issued by the chair shall expire within 30 business days unless ratified by the commission prior to the 30-day expiration; provided, however, that said ratification by the commission shall prescribe an end date for each order. Failure of any investor-owned utility to carry out an order by the chair authorized under this section shall be subject to investigation and a penalty of up to \$1,000,000 per violation, with any such penalty levied to be returned to ratepayers through distribution rates.

## Section 1 1: Plan Update and Maintenance

This plan is considered a living document and may be updated and revised based on experiences, in particular after a significant drought, or every five years. Changes may be made based on the approval of the Massachusetts Drought Management Task Force and in consultation with the Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (MEMA). Notices of change will be distributed by the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs.

This plan will be posted with the Massachusetts Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan on the MEMA website and will help inform updates to the State Hazard Mitigation and Climate Adaptation Plan. The plan will also be posted on the websites of the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA). If interested parties are unable to obtain the document from these websites, requests must be coordinated through MEMA or EEA.

## Appendix A: Abbreviations

|         |  |
|---------|--|
| AAR     | After Action Review  |
| BOH     | Board of Health  |
| CDA     | Civil Defense Act (Massachusetts; Acts of 1950, chapter 639)         |
| CEMP    | Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan                              |
| CMI     | Crop Moisture Index  |
| DAR     | Department of Agricultural Resources (Massachusetts)                 |
| DCAMM   | Division of Capital Asset Management and Maintenance (Massachusetts) |
| DCR     | Department of Conservation and Recreation (Massachusetts)            |
| DER     | Division of Ecological Restoration                                   |
| DFG     | Department of Fish and Game (Massachusetts)                          |
| DFW     | Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (Massachusetts)                   |
| DMP     | Drought Management Plan (Massachusetts)                              |
| DMT     | Drought Management Team  |
| DMTF    | Drought Management Task Force (Massachusetts)                        |
| DPH     | Department of Public Health (Massachusetts)                          |
| DPU     | Department of Public Utilities (Massachusetts)                       |
| DW      | Drinking Water   |
| EEA     | Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (Massachusetts) |
| ERP     | Emergency Response Plan  |
| FIB     | Fecal Indicator Bacteria   |
| FSA     | Farm Services Agency (USDA)  |
| HHAN    | Health and Homeland Alerting Network                                 |
| ICS     | Incident Command System  |
| KBDI    | Keetch-Byram Drought Index   |
| MAESF   | Massachusetts Emergency Support Function                             |
| MAHB    | Massachusetts Association of Health Boards                           |
| MassDEP | Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection                 |
| MassDOT | Massachusetts Department of Transportation                           |
| MA WCS  | Massachusetts Water Conservation Standards                           |

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| MAWARN          | Massachusetts Water/Wastewater Agency Response Network                                       |
| MEMA            | Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency  |
| MWRA            | Massachusetts Water Resources Authority  |
| MWWA            | Massachusetts Water Works Association  |
| NASA            | National Aeronautics and Space Administration  |
| NLDAS           | North American Land Data Assimilation System   |
| NOAA            | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  |
| NWS             | National Weather Service   |
| OWR             | Office of Water Resources (Massachusetts Department of Conservation and Recreation)          |
| POR             | Period of Record   |
| PSA             | Public Service Announcement  |
| PWS             | Public Water Supplier  |
| REOC            | Regional Emergency Operations Centers  |
| rgpcd           | residential gallons per capita per day   |
| SAS             | Situational Awareness Statement  |
| SEOC            | State Emergency Operations Center (Massachusetts)  |
| SPI             | Standardized Precipitation Index   |
| UMass Extension | University of Massachusetts, Amherst, partner in the national Cooperative Extension System   |
| USACE           | United States Army Corps of Engineers  |
| USDA            | United States Department of Agriculture  |
| USDM            | U.S. Drought Monitor   |
| USGS            | United States Geological Survey  |
| VMS             | Variable Messages Signs  |
| WCS             | Water Conservation Standards (Massachusetts)   |
| WebEOC          | A web-based crisis management system supporting operations in an Emergency Operations Center |
| WMA             | Water Management Act (Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 21G)                               |
| WRC             | Water Resources Commission (Massachusetts)   |



## Appendix B: Drought Management Task Force Contact List<sup>20</sup>

### STATE AGENCIES

#### **Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (co-chair)**

Vandana Rao  
Director of Water Policy  
(617) 626-1248  
[vandana.rao@mass.gov](mailto:vandana.rao@mass.gov)

#### **Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency (co-chair)**

Samantha C. Phillips  
Director  
508-820-2010  
[Samantha.Phillips@mass.gov](mailto:Samantha.Phillips@mass.gov)

Chris Besse  
Public Information Officer  
508-820-2039  
[christopher.besse@mass.gov](mailto:christopher.besse@mass.gov)

Mike Russas  
Response and Field Services Section Chief:  
508-820-2018  
[michael.russas@mass.gov](mailto:michael.russas@mass.gov)

Richard LaTour, Jr.  
Planning Unit Supervisor  
508-820-1454  
[richard.latour@mass.gov](mailto:richard.latour@mass.gov)

#### **Department of Agricultural Resources**

Hotze Wijnja  
Environmental Chemist  
(617) 626-1771  
[hotze.wijnja@mass.gov](mailto:hotze.wijnja@mass.gov)

---

<sup>20</sup> This contact list will be periodically updated by EOEEA

**Department of Conservation and Recreation**

Anne Carroll  
Director, Office of Water Resources  
(617) 626-1395  
[anne.carroll@mass.gov](mailto:anne.carroll@mass.gov)

Dave Celino  
Chief Fire Warden  
(617) 626-4981  
[david.celino@mass.gov](mailto:david.celino@mass.gov)

Vanessa Curran  
Geologist (staff to the WRC)  
(617) 626-1388  
[vanessa.curran@mass.gov](mailto:vanessa.curran@mass.gov)

Bill Gode  
Director Flood Control Management  
(617) 727-0488  
[william.gode@mass.gov](mailto:william.gode@mass.gov)

Robert Lowell  
Acting Chief Engineer  
(617) 626-1340  
[robert.lowell@mass.gov](mailto:robert.lowell@mass.gov)

Viki Zoltay  
Hydrologist (staff to the WRC)  
(617) 626-1384  
[viki.zoltay@mass.gov](mailto:viki.zoltay@mass.gov)

**Department of Environmental Protection**

Bruce Bouck  
Drinking Water Program  
(617) 292-5948  
[Bruce.bouck@mass.gov](mailto:Bruce.bouck@mass.gov)

Duane LeVangie  
Director, Water Management Program  
(617) 292-5706  
[duane.levangie@mass.gov](mailto:duane.levangie@mass.gov)

Tom Maguire  
Wetlands  
(617) 292-5602  
[thomas.maguire@mass.gov](mailto:thomas.maguire@mass.gov)

**Department of Fire Services (within the Executive Office of Public Safety and Security)**

Peter Ostroskey  
978-567-3111  
[peter.ostroskey@mass.gov](mailto:peter.ostroskey@mass.gov)

**Department of Fish and Game**

Michelle Craddock  
Division of Ecological Restoration  
(617) 626-1544  
[Michelle.craddock@mass.gov](mailto:Michelle.craddock@mass.gov)

Adam Kautza  
Coldwater Fisheries Project Leader  
Division of Fisheries & Wildlife  
(508) 389-6302  
[adam.kautza@mass.gov](mailto:adam.kautza@mass.gov)

**Department of Public Health**

Kate Adams  
(617) 624-5757  
[Kate.adams@massmail.state.ma.us](mailto:Kate.adams@massmail.state.ma.us)

**Department of Public Utilities**

Mark Barrett  
Rates Analyst  
(617) 305-3500  
[mark.barrett@mass.gov](mailto:mark.barrett@mass.gov)

Marcos Pareto  
Economist  
(617) 305-3797  
[marcos.pareto@mass.gov](mailto:marcos.pareto@mass.gov)

**Massachusetts Water Resources Authority**

John Gregoire  
Program Manager, Reservoir Operations  
Massachusetts Water Resources Authority  
(508) 424-3608  
[John.Gregoire@mwra.com](mailto:John.Gregoire@mwra.com)

**FEDERAL AGENCIES**

**Farm Services Agency, U.S. Department of Agriculture**

Lori Carver  
Executive Officer  
(413) 253-4503  
[Lori.carver@usda.gov](mailto:Lori.carver@usda.gov)

**National Weather Service**

Alan Dunham  
Hydro Program Leader  
NWS Forecast Office Boston/Norton  
[alan.dunham@noaa.gov](mailto:alan.dunham@noaa.gov)

Nicole Belk  
Service Hydrologist  
NWS Forecast Office Boston/Norton  
(508) 622-3250  
[nicole.belk@noaa.gov](mailto:nicole.belk@noaa.gov)

**National Weather Service - Northeast River Forecast Center**

David R. Vallee  
Hydrologist-in-Charge  
NOAA/NWS/Northeast River Forecast Center  
(508) 622-3300, ext. 232  
[david.vallee@noaa.gov](mailto:david.vallee@noaa.gov)

Edward J. Capone  
Service Coordination Hydrologist  
Northeast River Forecast Center  
(508) 622-3300, est. 258  
[edward.capone@noaa.gov](mailto:edward.capone@noaa.gov)

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

Jack Keenan

Chief, Reservoir Control Center

(978) 318-8310

[jack.a.keenen@usace.army.mil](mailto:jack.a.keenen@usace.army.mil)

**Unites States Geological Survey**

Gardner Bent

New England Water Science Center

(508) 490-5041

[gbent@usgs.gov](mailto:gbent@usgs.gov)

**OTHER MEMBERS****Massachusetts Association of Health Boards**

Marcia Benes

(508) 643-0234

[benes@mahb.org](mailto:benes@mahb.org)

**Massachusetts Rivers Alliance**

Julia Blatt

Executive Director

(617) 714-4272

[juliablatt@massriversalliance.org](mailto:juliablatt@massriversalliance.org)

**Massachusetts Water Works Association**

Jennifer Pederson

Executive Director

(978) 263-1388

[jpederson@masswaterworks.org](mailto:jpederson@masswaterworks.org)

**Water Supply Citizens Advisory Committee**

Lexi Dewey

Executive Director

(413) 213-0454

[info@wscac.org](mailto:info@wscac.org)

## Appendix C: Additional Information about Drought Indices

### C.1 2017-2019 Revision Process and Resulting Changes

The Massachusetts Drought Management Plan (DMP) was created in 2001 and updated in 2013. The 2016-2017<sup>21</sup> drought was the most significant drought in recent history, and it was the first time a Warning level drought was reached since the creation of the Massachusetts DMP in 2001. During this drought, the Drought Management Task Force (DMTF), staff, and stakeholders identified several aspects of the 2013 DMP that needed further updates. This appendix outlines the 2017-2019 process of reexamining and revising the drought indices as part of the DMP update, and the resulting changes to the indices.

In the fall of 2017, an inter-agency technical workgroup was formed to address changes desired for the Drought Indices. The Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) Office of Water Resources (OWR) staff served as technical leads for the group, which included the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the National Weather Service (NWS), the Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA), and other EEA agency staff. The group met 10 times between December 2016 and April 2018. Staff briefed the DMTF and stakeholders on two occasions: they presented on the goals for the revision and results from preliminary analyses using the revised methods in July of 2017 and presented additional results and responses to comments in March 2018. Listening sessions were held with water suppliers (January 2017), Massachusetts River Alliance members (February 2017), and agricultural stakeholders (April 2017). Comments and input were received at meetings and through letters, email, and personal communication.

#### Revision of the Indices

Goals for revising the drought indices were to:

- Provide earlier warning of drought onset (e.g., in the 2013 DMP, multiple indices required two to three months to signal a Level 1 drought),
- Provide more accurate reflection of drought severity (e.g., in the 2013 DMP, the streamflow index was based on a count of months below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile but did not consider whether those flows were 10<sup>th</sup> percentile or even record low flows),
- Include longer look-back periods for the precipitation index, to capture the cumulative effect of dry periods over multiple years, and
- Review regions and regional boundaries (e.g., make the Islands into their own drought region separate from Cape Cod, given the differences in impacts seen during the 2016-2017 drought).

---

<sup>21</sup> The 2016-2017 timeframe designation for this drought is based on when there were official drought declarations by the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs. There were, however, portions of the state that experienced dry conditions in 2015, and the U.S. Drought Monitor placed part or all of the state in level 1 drought status during different portions of 2015.

## Index Selection

The indices revision started with a review of the indices used in the 2013 DMP and potential addition or elimination of indices. Of the seven original indices, there were two precipitation indices – standardized precipitation index (SPI) and percent of normal. This meant that the same data was used twice, calculated in two different ways. This resulted in applying more weight to precipitation than other indices. In the revised plan, the SPI is retained as the single precipitation index. Percent of normal was dropped as a determining index, but will still be calculated and used as a tool to assist with communicating to the public. The DMTF felt that the public is accustomed to hearing about precipitation as a percent of normal.

The **SPI** was selected as the official index because it standardizes the measure of precipitation to a normal distribution allowing for a more intuitive sense of the deviation from average conditions and a simple translation to frequency. For example, precipitation in 1965 was the lowest annual precipitation year in the drought of record, which lasted from 1961 to 1969. Precipitation that year was “71% of normal,” which does not convey the severity of the value; however, the SPI for that year was -2.06, which is equivalent to a 2-percentile event, which conveys the rarity and severity of the event. The translation to frequency is not only intuitively meaningful, but it aligns with the approach for other indices, as discussed below.

The **Crop Moisture Index** (CMI) was identified as an index that needed replacement by another product that would more accurately capture the effect of temperature on evapotranspiration, which is especially relevant during the growing season with respect to water availability. Two limitations to the CMI were identified. First, the national maps use only three data points for Massachusetts. Also, the CMI was developed for the grain-producing regions of the central United States<sup>22,23</sup> which may not accurately reflect conditions in Massachusetts.

Research into possible sources of evapotranspiration data showed that although multiple sources are available<sup>24</sup>, many are similar to CMI in that they use national datasets and models with little or no data from Massachusetts. A few promising indices were identified including the Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI), which may be calculated at precipitation stations where temperature data are also available. Some other promising products are from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) which combine satellite data with land-based data and modeling such as NASA’s GRACE products<sup>25</sup> and North-American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS)<sup>26</sup>. A full analysis and testing of these various products are needed to determine which would be most appropriate for Massachusetts and could replace the CMI. Until further research and testing is completed on alternatives, the CMI is retained as the evapotranspiration index.

---

<sup>22</sup> [http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/cdus/palmer\\_drought/wpdanote.shtml](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/cdus/palmer_drought/wpdanote.shtml)

<sup>23</sup> <http://www.droughtmanagement.info/crop-moisture-index-cmi/>

<sup>24</sup> <https://www.drought.gov/drought/data-maps-tools/soil-moisture>

<sup>25</sup> <http://nasagrace.unl.edu/Default.aspx>

<sup>26</sup> <http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/nldas/drought/>

The remaining indices – streamflow, reservoirs, groundwater, and fire danger – were retained. “Reservoirs” was renamed to Lakes and Impoundments to more accurately reflect that the index’s intent is to represent surface storage across multiple types of water bodies. As such, it is not meant to be an indicator of water supply capacity or sufficiency. The new name also more accurately reflects that the types of water bodies monitored for this index include both natural and human-made surface water bodies.

### **Method Development**

The second part of the revision included looking at the methods used to calculate the indices and determine drought levels. Staff consulted with authors of the U.S. Drought Monitor (USDM) to learn about their methods. The USDM uses the frequency of occurrence of an event relative to all historical measured events expressed as percentiles to gage the severity of a measurement at individual stations and to gage the severity of overall drought. The interpretation is that less frequent events suggest more severe conditions that are farther from the usual “operating” conditions for the environment and infrastructure. As such, this approach allows for a more intuitive interpretation. The USGS also uses a frequency of occurrence approach to provide a sense of severity for streamflow and groundwater-level measurements relative to all historical measurements at a given station.

The USDM assigns percentile ranges to each drought level. For the 2019 DMP update, drought thresholds were adopted that use approximately the same values as the USDM, which split into four drought categories instead of the USDM’s five. See the comparison table in Exhibit 1. The USDM and the Massachusetts DMP also use the respective percentile ranges in Exhibit 1 for categorizing the severity of individual drought indices. Although the USDM percentile thresholds are generally adopted in the current plan, the USDM drought determinations are not themselves relied upon in lieu of this DMP because the USDM is a national-level product that does not consider all the locally available data, notably Massachusetts’ extensive groundwater network, lakes and impoundments data, and local KBDI values.

In addition to allowing for a more intuitive interpretation, the frequency approach is robust against non-normal data distributions and outliers<sup>27</sup>. The values in the data set are ranked and assigned a specified percentile that reflects the percentage of the data points that lie below that value. The frequency approach does not use the actual values to make a calculation like taking the mean of values does. As a result, very high and very low values do not disproportionately impact the result. This is exemplified by considering daily streamflow values at a station gage. Streamflow data are not normally distributed because they contain infrequent but high values that occur during high precipitation events. As a result, the average can be skewed high and may not represent the “normal” or typical conditions. The typical condition is better approximated by the median or middle value of all measured values, also called the 50th percentile value. The 2013 DMP based the streamflow index

---

<sup>27</sup> For example, the median (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) and interquartile range (the 75<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> quantiles) measure the central tendency and spread like the mean and standard deviation, respectively, but are robust against non-normal data and outliers.



on monthly averages of daily measurements at a gage. In light of the considerations described here, the 2019 DMP uses the median of daily streamflow values to represent conditions for the month at a gage.

**Exhibit 1. Comparison of Percentile Ranges for the Massachusetts DMP and the USDM**

| USDM Names              | Recurrence               | Percentile Ranges | MA DMP Levels | MA Percentile Ranges | MA DMP Names        |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| D0: Abnormally Dry      | once per 3 to 5 years    | 21 to 30          | 1             | >20 and ≤30%         | Mild Drought        |
| D1: Moderate            | once per 5 to 10 years   | 11 to 20          | 2             | >10 and ≤20%         | Significant Drought |
| D2: Severe Drought      | once per 10 to 20 years  | 6 to 10           | 3             | >2 and ≤10%          | Critical Drought    |
| D3: Extreme Drought     | once per 20 to 50 years  | 3 to 5            |               |                      |                     |
| D4: Exceptional Drought | once per 50 to 100 years | 0 to 2            | 4             | ≤2%                  | Emergency           |

The frequency of occurrence – or percentile – approach also allows for comparison of data across stations and even across indices. For example, the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile precipitation event in Boston and in Worcester may represent different values, but in the context of their respective historical records, the severity or “unusualness” of the event is the same. Similarly, a 25<sup>th</sup> percentile value at a precipitation station and a 25<sup>th</sup> percentile value at a groundwater well reflect comparable levels of rarity and severity.

Given these advantages, the current plan applies the percentile approach to individual Indices, where possible (Precipitation, Streamflow, Groundwater, and Lakes and Impoundments), providing consistency and comparability across indices. In the 2013 DMP, the method for several of the indices set percentile or standard deviation thresholds that served simply to characterize conditions as “normal” or “below normal”, but did not distinguish based on the degree to which a measurement was below normal. The 2019 DMP transitions to directly using percentiles to categorize the index severity level, allowing for a more complete understanding of the severity of conditions. Additionally, the 2013 DMP relied on a count of months “below normal” to signal the start and severity of droughts (e.g., streamflow must be below normal for 2 months before signaling level 1 severity). The 2019 DMP eliminates duration thresholds, allowing for a more direct representation of a given month’s conditions and an earlier signaling of drought.

**Exhibit 2** shows the index severity levels and the corresponding values for each index. Historical data are not available for the Fire Danger<sup>28</sup> and Evapotranspiration indices. Therefore, these two indices were not conducive to the percentile approach. The only change to these indices for the 2019 DMP is the creation of new thresholds that split apart Severity Levels 3 and 4. In the 2013 DMP, there was no distinction in value between these two drought severity levels for these two indices. The Chief Fire Warden for Massachusetts DCR was consulted for the new threshold for the Fire Danger Index. NOAA’s NWS Climate Prediction Center’s CMI explanation page was used to establish the new threshold for the CMI<sup>29</sup>. The 2019 DMP Severity Level thresholds for all indices are shown in Exhibit 2.

**Exhibit 2. Table of Indices Values Corresponding to Index Severity Levels**

| Index Severity Level | Standardized Precipitation Index | Streamflow                   | Lakes and Impoundments | Groundwater | Keetch-Byram Drought Index | Crop Moisture Index |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| 0                    |                                  | >30 <sup>th</sup> percentile |                        |             | < 200                      | > -1.0              |
| 1                    |                                  | ≤30 and >20                  |                        |             | 200-400                    | ≤-1.0 and > -2.0    |
| 2                    |                                  | ≤20 and >10                  |                        |             | 400-600                    | ≤-2.0 and < -3.0    |
| 3                    |                                  | ≤10 and >2                   |                        |             | 600-700                    | ≤ -3.0 and > -4.0   |
| 4                    |                                  | ≤2                           |                        |             | 700-800                    | ≤-4.0               |

## C.2 Method Testing

The third part of the revision was testing the new approach. The method was tested for individual indices on two drought regions – the Northeast and Southeast – and for overall drought determination in the Northeast region.

### Indices Testing

Three indices (Precipitation, Streamflow, and Groundwater) were calculated monthly for each region using the proposed 2019 DMP methods. The period of record for these calculations started from the time when at least three stations had data available within a region and went through December 2016. The performance of these newly calculated indices was compared to the performance of the previous indices (DMP 2013) and assessed in the context of known droughts.

The percentile or frequency of occurrence approach, described above, was applied to individual stations for each of the three indices. Two options were tested for assigning a regional value for each

<sup>28</sup> The indices workgroup considered the U.S. Forest Service’s Wildland Fire Assessment System as a source of historical and ongoing KBDI values. However, that system relies on one station from the State which is spatially interpolated with stations in neighboring states. Due to this lack of spatial resolution, this source of data was not pursued.


<sup>29</sup> [http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis\\_monitoring/cdus/palmer\\_drought/wpdanote.shtml](http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/cdus/palmer_drought/wpdanote.shtml)

index based on these individual station percentiles - using the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of the individual station percentiles within the region<sup>30</sup>.

Exhibits 3 to 5 below show the Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region during part of the 2016-2017 drought based on: the 2013 DMP methods; the revised methods using the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of station percentiles as the regional value; and the revised methods using the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile of station percentiles as the regional value. The SPI for the revised methods in these exhibits reflects the highest severity level of all look-back periods. While the most recent drought is shown in the exhibits, other drought periods were examined including 1960s, 1980-1981, 2001-2002, 2010, and 2006-2007.


In general, the testing revealed that the revised indices provide an earlier signal of a drought onset and show severity more in line with observed conditions. Without a time delay built-in to the indices, the severity is not delayed or dampened as with the 2013 DMP method. This results in a more timely and accurate reflection of on-the-ground conditions. For example, the Streamflow Index signaling earlier and at higher levels for the 2016-2017 drought reflects the numerous 10<sup>th</sup> percentile and record low flows experienced during this time period. In comparing the 25<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentile as representative regional values, the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile signals earlier and at higher levels compared to the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile. See Exhibits 4 and 5.

**Exhibit 3. SPI Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016**




<sup>30</sup> Note that in the case of the SPI precipitation index, the 2013 DMP method used an average of station values for calculating a regional value. For streamflow and groundwater, the 2013 DMP methods required that the majority of stations in a region had to be below normal (i.e., less than 25<sup>th</sup> percentile) to count the month as below normal, and furthermore, required specific counts of months below normal before a severity level was reached.

**Exhibit 4. Streamflow Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016**



**Exhibit 5. Groundwater Index Severity Levels for the Northeast Drought Region, 2015-2016**



In addition to graphical analyses, the frequency of each severity level was calculated for the period of record for each method and compared to the target frequencies as shown in Exhibit 6. The exhibit shows the target percentiles that define each severity level and the corresponding percent of months over the period of record that would statistically be expected at each severity level. The revised methods with the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile as the regional value show the closest alignment with the target percentiles; therefore, the technical workgroup selected that approach to assigning a regional value based on individual station values. There is a significant improvement especially for the Groundwater Index when compared to the 2013 DMP method.

**Exhibit 6. Percent of Months at Each Index Severity Level for the Northeast Region<sup>31</sup>**

| <b>SPI</b>                  |                                | <b>Percent of Months over Period of Record (1900-2016)</b> |                 |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|
| <b>Index Severity Level</b> | <b>Target Percentile Range</b> | <b>Target</b>  | <b>2013 DMP</b> | <b>Revised method with 50<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value, 3-month look-back</b> | <b>Revised method with 25<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value, 3-month look-back</b> |
| 0                           | >30%                           | 70   | 76              | 69   | 61   |
| 1                           | >20 and ≤30%                   | 10   | 14              | 13   | 12   |
| 2                           | >10 and ≤20%                   | 10   | 9               | 12   | 15   |
| 3                           | >2 and ≤10%                    | 8  | 1               | 5  | 9  |
| 4                           | ≤2%                            | 2  | <1              | 1  | 2  |

| <b>STREAMFLOW</b>           |                                | <b>Percent of Months over Period of Record (1931-2016)</b> |                 |   |   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---|
| <b>Index Severity Level</b> | <b>Target Percentile Range</b> | <b>Target</b>  | <b>2013 DMP</b> | <b>Revised method with 50<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value</b> | <b>Revised method with 25<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value</b> |
| 0                           | >30%                           | 70   | 79              | 70  | 61  |
| 1                           | >20 and ≤30%                   | 10   | 11              | 10  | 12  |
| 2                           | >10 and ≤20%                   | 10   | 5               | 11  | 13  |
| 3                           | >2 and ≤10%                    | 8  | 4               | 8   | 11  |
| 4                           | ≤2%                            | 2  | 2               | 1   | 3   |

<sup>31</sup> Although Exhibit 6 shows only one look-back period for the SPI, the other look-back periods showed similar results.

| <b>GROUNDWATER</b>          |                                | <b>Percent of Months over Period of Record (1957-2016)</b> |                 |   |   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---|
| <b>Index Severity Level</b> | <b>Target Percentile Range</b> | <b>Target</b>  | <b>2013 DMP</b> | <b>Revised method with 50<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value</b> | <b>Revised method with 25<sup>th</sup> percentile as regional value</b> |
| 0                           | >30%                           | 70   | 91              | 73  | 58  |
| 1                           | >20 and ≤30%                   | 10   | 3               | 9   | 13  |
| 2                           | >10 and ≤20%                   | 10   | 3               | 10  | 14  |
| 3                           | >2 and ≤10%                    | 8  | 1               | 7   | 11  |
| 4                           | ≤2%                            | 2  | 2               | 1   | 4   |

Although we only present examples from the Northeast Region, the same patterns and results were seen for the Southeast Region.

### *SPI Look-Back Periods*

The look-back periods used for the SPI were also evaluated. The 3-, 6-, and 12-month time periods were included in the 2013 DMP. For the revised plan, the 2-, 9-, 24-, and 36-month look-back periods were added to provide a more refined assessment of shorter-term deficits and to capture the cumulative effect of dry periods over multiple years. An analysis of the 36-month look-back period suggested that time periods beyond the 24-month time frame do not provide additional drought signals at the Drought Region scale. However, based on recent research by Boutt showing a multi-year impact of precipitation in some wells (Weider and Boutt 2010, Boutt 2016, Boutt 2017<sup>32</sup>), the technical group suggested that the 36-month look-back period be retained until further research is conducted and experience is acquired.

The 2013 DMP based the SPI Index Level on the lowest percentile from among the look-back periods. This approach was tested for the 2019 DMP while also including the additional look-back periods. Exhibit 7 shows that always using the lowest percentile look-back period to drive the index would signal dry conditions more frequently than our target percentile range with the new method. The individual look-back periods, on the other hand, result in frequencies closely aligned with our target percentile ranges. Although

<sup>32</sup> Weider, Kaitlyn & Boutt, David. (2010). Heterogeneous Water Table Response to Climate Revealed by 60 Years of Ground Water Data. *Geophysical Research Letters* - 37. DOI:10.1029/2010GL045561.

Boutt, David. (2016). Assessing Hydrogeologic Controls on Dynamic Groundwater Storage Using Long-Term Instrumental Records of Water Table Levels: Assessing Hydrogeologic Controls on Dynamic Groundwater Storage. *Hydrological Processes*- 31. DOI:10.1002/hyp.11119.

Boutt, David. (2017). Extreme Precipitation Events and Subsurface Water Storage Dynamics of Glaciated Landscapes. GSA Annual Meeting in Seattle, Washington, USA – 2017. DOI:10.1130/abs/2017AM-307912.

Exhibit 7 shows only three look-back periods, the other look-back periods showed similar results. Instead of always using the driest look-back period to establish the index severity level, all look-back periods will be presented as a table to the DMTF under the 2019 DMP. This will provide a sense of the severity at various time scales and the progression or changes in dry conditions through time. The DMTF will use this broad range of data to select the most relevant look-back period to drive the Precipitation Index severity level.

**Exhibit 7. Comparison of Different Look-Back Periods for SPI Applying 2019 DMP Methods to the Northeast Drought Region**

| SPI<br>Index Severity<br>Level | Target<br>Percentile<br>Range | Percent of Months over Period Of Record |                            |         |         |             |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                                |                               | Target                                  | Worst of<br>Look-<br>Backs | 3 month | 6 month | 12<br>month |
| 0                              | >30%                          | 70                                      | 37                         | 69      | 70      | 72          |
| 1                              | >20 and ≤30%                  | 10                                      | 17                         | 13      | 11      | 10          |
| 2                              | >10 and ≤20%                  | 10                                      | 24                         | 12      | 12      | 10          |
| 3                              | >2 and ≤10%                   | 8                                       | 17                         | 5       | 7       | 7           |
| 4                              | ≤2%                           | 2                                       | 5                          | 1       | 1       | 1           |


**Lakes and Impoundments**

The revised method with the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for regional representation was tested for the Lakes and Impoundments index for the Northeast and Southeast regions.

Exhibit 8 shows the comparison between the revised method (2019 DMP) and the 2013 DMP method. For this index, there is not a consistent pattern between the two methods as with the previous three indices described above.

The 2013 DMP categorized severity for a region based on any single lake or impoundment that was “below normal” (i.e., more than one standard deviation below average). That is, it was based on the worst of all water bodies resulting in an earlier drought signal than the revised methods which uses the median of the individual water bodies’ percentiles. In addition, the 2013 DMP categorized severity based on the size of the water body. For example, in the Northeast region where there are only small and medium water bodies, it would result in a maximum possible index severity level of only 1 or 2, respectively, and preclude the region from ever going into level 3 (large water bodies) and level 4 (sustained status of level 3) even if water levels in the reservoirs decrease significantly. With the revised method all severity levels are possible in all regions because water body size is not a factor in the calculations. The revised method uses the individual water bodies’ percentiles to gauge the status of the reservoir compared to its own historical levels and data. The regional value is then calculated based on the median of all individual sites. These changes align this index with the methods and interpretation of the other indices.

**Exhibit 8. Lakes and Impoundments Index for the Northeast Region for the 2013 DMP method and the revised method using the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for a regional value (2019 DMP)**



### Overall Drought Determinations Testing

After the indices were evaluated individually, the method for establishing the regional value based on the station percentiles was established, and the process for selecting the SPI look-back period was revised, the technical group conducted a mock DMTF meeting to gage the effect of the new methods on potential drought determinations. Three indices – precipitation, streamflow and groundwater – calculated by the new methods were presented to the group one month at a time for two time periods (September 2001 to April 2002 and June 2010 to January 2011) for the Northeast region, without the group knowing which months’ data were being presented. These periods included non-drought conditions and drought conditions up to level 3 (using the new method). In addition to the three indices, other relevant and available historical data from past hydrologic conditions reports were presented. It should be noted that this mock exercise did not include all the data the DMTF usually has available at a meeting, nor did participants have the benefit of lengthy deliberations for each month that is typical at a DMTF meeting, since conditions for many months were assessed in one meeting. Despite these limitations, staff still found the exercise helpful in evaluating the new method.

During the mock meeting, the group first made drought-level determinations for each month. These were then compared to drought-level determinations for the same time period that were made historically by the DMTF using the 2013 DMP and by the USDM and after considering documented



impacts and experiences by technical staff during those drought years. As the revisions intended, droughts, in general, were called slightly earlier and with slightly higher severity compared to the historical determinations based on the 2013 DMP. In addition, based on drought impacts reported at the time and on staff who had been present during those droughts, it was confirmed that these changes were appropriate relative to the conditions that were experienced. Each time period is discussed in more detail below.


During the 2010-2011 drought exercise, the technical group called a level 1 drought for June 2010. Upon comparison, this was one month before the historical state determination, but the same timing as the USDM that made its determination of level 0, abnormally dry conditions (Note that the USDM level 0 corresponds to the new state level 1). In addition to the indices, the technical group had considered on-the-ground impacts that had been reported, including increased fires especially in the Northeast Region, mandatory and voluntary water restrictions, and a forecast that projected normal rainfall but normal to above-normal temperatures. In July, all three methods escalated their respective drought levels by one category and maintained that category for August 2010. The revised method resulting in an escalation to a level 2 drought (one higher than the DMP 2013 and equivalent to the comparable USDM drought level) was deemed appropriate based on a severity level 3 streamflow index, the escalation of other impacts including the need for water tankers for fire suppression due to supplies drying up, difficulty extinguishing fires because of the deep drying of soils, and additional water supply restrictions. In addition, the National Weather Service reported the warmest spring and summer to-date at the time with an early start to the growing season followed by a particularly dry June and August explaining some of the observed impacts and their severity. Finally, the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority reported inquiries from public water suppliers about establishing new connections.


In September 2010, the USDM dropped two categories to normal/no drought level, while both the 2013 DMP and revised methods continued at the previous levels. Given that all three indices being considered showed some level of severity (including level 3 for SPI, level 2 for streamflow, and level 1 for groundwater), the technical group determined that maintaining the previous drought level was a better reflection of conditions than USDM's two-level drop to non-drought conditions. In October 2010, the new method resulted in a one-category decline to the same level 1 drought that was maintained by the historical determinations. Both returned to normal in November 2010 and onward.

During the 2001-2002 drought exercise, the technical group called a level 1 drought two months prior to the historical state determinations but at the same time as the USDM. The historical method reached a maximum of a level 2 drought, while the new method reached a maximum of a level 3 drought in line with the USDM. The technical group called a level 3 drought beginning in November

2001, four months prior to the equivalent USDM drought level. At the time, both SPI and streamflow index were at level 4 and groundwater was at level 3. National Weather Service staff commented that conditions were more severe earlier than indicated by the USDM and that the new methods would have served well to signal the escalation earlier. Historical records bear this out and show new record-low streamflow across the Northeast Drought Region during this period including the Ipswich River at Middleton, Ipswich River at Ipswich, Shawsheen River at Wilmington, Nashoba Brook near Acton, Nashua River at East Pepperell, Squannacook River near West Groton, Merrimack River below Concord River, Charles River at Wellesley, Sudbury River at Saxonville, and Parker River at Byfield. Two examples are shown in Exhibit 9.

**Exhibit 9. Streamflow at USGS Gaging Locations in 2001**





### C.3 Continued Work

#### Review of Monitoring Networks

The precipitation stations will be reviewed for timeliness and consistency of reporting, spatial representation within a region, sufficient representation of variability within a region, and other factors. If needed, the stations selected to represent each region may be modified.

In collaboration with USGS, the Commonwealth is reviewing the streamflow and groundwater monitoring networks. Characteristics of gages and wells will be compiled; these may include degree of human influence, timing of response to dry conditions, aquifer type for wells, stream order for gages, and other factors. Each network will be reviewed to assess the degree to which it provides representation of desired characteristics in each region. Based on the gaps, redundancies, or other issues, the networks may be revised.

For the lakes and impoundments network, work is underway to include additional monitoring locations. State staff is contacting managers of such water bodies to request monthly reporting and compile historical data for calculating percentiles. Methods to facilitate reporting, for example, by

creating an electronic reporting mechanism, are also being considered. In addition, state staff is creating a database of reservoirs and their basic characteristics to facilitate the interpretation of outliers such as management protocols that may substantially alter levels in ways unrelated to drought conditions.

USGS is developing and testing methods to project future streamflow and groundwater levels based on historical data, current conditions, and projected weather. Once operational, these projections will greatly enhance information available to the DMTF for decision-making especially for initiating early actions at drought onset or intensification. Once the analyses are completed, their publicly available location will be referenced.

### **Crop Moisture Index**

A review along with full analysis and testing of the various evapotranspiration related products is needed to determine which would be most appropriate for Massachusetts and could replace the current CMI.

### **Effects of Climate Change**

Multiple comments were received about the effects of climate change on the period of record (POR) used for calculating percentiles. The POR is a reference period from which the frequency and therefore severity of a drought is calculated. It assumes that patterns are not changing and that the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile drought conditions will be similar conditions with similar impacts in the future. However, with climate change, more frequent and more intense droughts are projected. If these newer data are included in the POR, then it will skew the reference period and droughts will be calculated as more common and less severe than if the POR is cut off at some point in time. Creating a cut off point for the POR will preserve historical data with fewer climate change effects as the reference or baseline period against which drought frequency and severity is measured. For example, if the POR were established as all historical data up to 1990, then future droughts would be evaluated in context of all events within that POR. If there is no cut off, then as droughts become more common in the POR, drought previously calculated as a 20<sup>th</sup> percentile event may be calculated as a 35<sup>th</sup> percentile event and classified as a “normal” condition. However, both droughts will have the same impact on people, infrastructure, and the environment. Therefore, staff will continue to evaluate this issue.


### **Changing Impact of Droughts**

The 2016-2017 drought showed precipitation totals at or above the 1960s drought but streamflow and groundwater impacts more severe than that of the 1960s drought. In collaboration with USGS, staff will explore the factors contributing to the severity of impacts (e.g., land use change, increased water use) to better understand the changing dynamic between precipitation and drought impacts.

# Appendix D: Maps of Massachusetts Drought Regions with List of Cities and Towns by Drought Region

## Western Region (Berkshire County)

- Adams
- Alford
- Becket
- Cheshire
- Clarksburg
- Dalton
- Egremont
- Florida
- Great Barrington
- Hancock
- Hinsdale
- Lanesborough
- Lee
- Lenox
- Monterey
- Mt. Washington
- New Ashford
- New Marlborough
- North Adams
- Otis
- Peru
- Pittsfield
- Richmond
- Sandisfield
- Savoy
- Sheffield
- Stockbridge
- Tyringham
- Washington
- West Stockbridge
- Williamstown
- Windsor



# Connecticut River Region

(Franklin, Hampden, and Hampshire counties)



## Cities and Towns in the Connecticut River Region

|                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Agawam          | Ludlow           |
| Amherst         | Middlefield      |
| Ashfield        | Monroe           |
| Belchertown     | Monson           |
| Bernardston     | Montague         |
| Blandford       | Montgomery       |
| Brimfield       | New Salem        |
| Buckland        | Northampton      |
| Charlemont      | Northfield       |
| Chester         | Orange           |
| Chesterfield    | Palmer           |
| Chicopee        | Pelham           |
| Colrain         | Plainfield       |
| Conway          | Rowe             |
| Cummington      | Russell          |
| Deerfield       | Shelburne        |
| East Longmeadow | Shutesbury       |
| Easthampton     | South Hadley     |
| Erving          | Southampton      |
| Gill            | Southwick        |
| Goshen          | Springfield      |
| Granby          | Sunderland       |
| Granville       | Tolland          |
| Greenfield      | Wales            |
| Hadley          | Ware             |
| Hampden         | Warwick          |
| Hatfield        | Wendell          |
| Hawley          | West Springfield |
| Heath           | Westfield        |
| Holland         | Westhampton      |
| Holyoke         | Whately          |
| Huntington      | Wilbraham        |
| Leverett        | Williamsburg     |
| Leyden          | Worthington      |
| Longmeadow      |                  |

# Central Region (Worcester County)






## Cities and Towns in the Central Region

|                 |                  |                 |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Ashburnham      | Hopedale         | Royalston       |
| Athol           | Hubbardston      | Rutland         |
| Auburn          | Lancaster        | Shrewsbury      |
| Barre           | Leicester        | Southborough    |
| Berlin          | Leominster       | Southbridge     |
| Blackstone      | Lunenburg        | Spencer         |
| Bolton          | Mendon           | Sterling        |
| Boylston        | Milford          | Sturbridge      |
| Brookfield      | Millbury         | Sutton          |
| Charlton        | Millville        | Templeton       |
| Clinton         | New Braintree    | Upton           |
| Douglas         | North Brookfield | Uxbridge        |
| Dudley          | Northborough     | Warren          |
| East Brookfield | Northbridge      | Webster         |
| Fitchburg       | Oakham           | West Boylston   |
| Gardner         | Oxford           | West Brookfield |
| Grafton         | Paxton           | Westborough     |
| Hardwick        | Petersham        | Westminster     |
| Harvard         | Phillipston      | Winchendon      |
| Holden          | Princeton        | Worcester       |

# Northeast Region

(Essex, Middlesex, and Suffolk Counties, plus Brookline)



## Cities and Towns in the Northeast Region

|            |                          |               |              |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Acton      | Essex                    | Marlborough   | Shirley      |
| Amesbury   | Everett                  | Maynard       | Somerville   |
| Andover    | Framingham               | Medford       | Stoneham     |
| Arlington  | Georgetown               | Melrose       | Stow         |
| Ashby      | Gloucester               | Merrimac      | Sudbury      |
| Ashland    | Groton                   | Methuen       | Swampscott   |
| Ayer       | Groveland                | Middleton     | Tewksbury    |
| Bedford    | Hamilton                 | Nahant        | Topsfield    |
| Belmont    | Haverhill                | Natick        | Townsend     |
| Beverly    | Holliston                | Newbury       | Tyngsborough |
| Billerica  | Hopkinton                | Newburyport   | Wakefield    |
| Boston     | Hudson                   | Newton        | Waltham      |
| Boxborough | Ipswich                  | North Andover | Watertown    |
| Boxford    | Lawrence                 | North Reading | Wayland      |
| Brookline  | Lexington                | Peabody       | Wenham       |
| Burlington | Lincoln                  | Pepperell     | West Newbury |
| Cambridge  | Littleton                | Reading       | Westford     |
| Carlisle   | Lowell                   | Revere        | Weston       |
| Chelmsford | Lynn                     | Rockport      | Wilmington   |
| Chelsea    | Lynnfield                | Rowley        | Winchester   |
| Concord    | Malden                   | Salem         | Winthrop     |
| Danvers    | Manchester by<br>the sea | Salisbury     | Woburn       |
| Dracut     |                          | Saugus        |              |
| Dunstable  | Marblehead               | Sherborn      |              |

# Southeast Region


(Norfolk (minus Brookline), Bristol, and Plymouth Counties)



## Cities and Towns in the Southeast Region

|                  |                    |                  |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Abington         | Hanover            | Plymouth         |
| Acushnet         | Hanson             | Plympton         |
| Attleboro        | Hingham            | Quincy           |
| Avon             | Holbrook           | Randolph         |
| Bellingham       | Hull               | Raynham          |
| Berkley          | Kingston           | Rehoboth         |
| Braintree        | Lakeville          | Rochester        |
| Bridgewater      | Mansfield          | Rockland         |
| Brockton         | Marion             | Scituate         |
| Canton           | Marshfield         | Seekonk          |
| Carver           | Mattapoisett       | Sharon           |
| Cohasset         | Medfield           | Somerset         |
| Dartmouth        | Medway             | Stoughton        |
| Dedham*          | Middleborough      | Swansea          |
| Dighton          | Millis             | Taunton          |
| Dover            | Milton             | Walpole          |
| Duxbury          | Needham            | Wareham          |
| East Bridgewater | New Bedford        | Wellesley        |
| Easton           | Norfolk            | West Bridgewater |
| Fairhaven        | North Attleborough | Westport         |
| Fall River       | Norton             | Westwood         |
| Foxborough       | Norwell            | Weymouth         |
| Franklin         | Norwood            | Whitman          |
| Freetown         | Pembroke           | Wrentham         |
| Halifax          | Plainville         |                  |

# Cape Cod Region (Barnstable County)




Barnstable  
Bourne  
Brewster  
Chatham  
Dennis

Eastham  
Falmouth  
Harwich  
Mashpee  
Orleans

Provincetown  
Sandwich  
Truro  
Wellfleet  
Yarmouth

# Islands Region (Dukes & Nantucket Counties)



Aquinnah  
Gosnold  
Tisbury

Chilmark  
Nantucket  
West Tisbury

Edgartown  
Oak Bluffs

## Appendix E: Private Wells - Frequently Asked Questions

### **How can the drought impact drinking water sources?**

During periods of drought, water sources such as reservoirs and wells that draw from underground aquifers are slow to recharge and can drop to very low levels. As the drought persists, these levels continue to decrease significantly and can affect all water users.

### **Does my small private well have any impact on water levels?**

All sources of water that are within a river basin, irrespective of the location or type of withdrawal, ultimately get their water from that same basin. The impact from your private well withdrawal along with all others in the watershed does impact the total amount of water available in that basin. During a drought, with less water available, the impacts will be felt by all users within a basin. Overuse of water, especially for outdoor purposes, will deplete sources.

### **Will my efforts to conserve water make any difference?**

No matter where you get your water, any water conservation efforts you take will help alleviate the impacts on your source of water. Be mindful of the amount of water you use, and follow the same watering restrictions as your town's public water supply system to help stretch your water supplies until conditions improve. These efforts will also help your community to have enough water for fire protection (the likelihood of fires increases as conditions get dry), public health and safety, and the environment.

***So remember, even if you have your own well, the water almost always comes from the same source as the public water supply, as long as you are in the same river basin. Water conservation measures are critical and should be started early. Reducing your water use can save water for the future!***

### **How can I get more Information about my well?**

If you are looking for more information about your well, contact your local Board of Health (BOH). Upon installation of any well, a well driller is required to submit copies of the Well Completion Report to the local BOH and the MassDEP Well Driller Program. By contacting either office, you should be able to get a copy of your Well Completion Report, which contains well construction details and geologic descriptions.

### **If I am a private citizen and my well goes dry, what should I do?**

- First contact your town office, your local Board of Health, and emergency management official to report your dry well and to determine if there are any local resources available for assistance.
- If you need immediate hook-up to a water connection, contact your local fire department and/or school to see if you may be able to use their facilities.
- If you have enough storage available at your residence, you may also be able to buy water from private water suppliers and tankers. For a list of vendors, click [here](#).





- For a long-term solution, contact a well digger to explore options such as well replacement, deepening the well to access water lower into the water table. For more information on well drilling, visit the MassDEP website on private wells [here](#).

**If I am a small business or a farmer with a private well that is running dry what should I do?**

- Contact your local city or town office and Board of Health to report that your well is going dry.
- A farmer may contact Massachusetts Department of Agricultural Resources for assistance.
- If you have enough storage available at your farm, you may also be able to buy water from private water suppliers and tankers. For a list of vendors, click [here](#).

# Appendix F: MWRA Water Supply Communities – Full and Partially Served



## General Plan of the MWRA Water Transmission System

- Raw Water
- MWRA Water Communities
- Full Service
- Partial Service
- Transmission (online)
- Transmission (offline)
- Interstate Highway
- Watershed Boundaries
- MWRA Reservoirs
- DCR Owned Land - Division of Water Supply Protection
- Other Publicly Owned or Protected Openspace

Date: 9/26/2018

MwraGIS 1454.7

## List of MWRA Water Supply Communities- Full and Partially Served

### Fully Supplied

#### (metro Boston/MetroWest)

- 1 Arlington
- 2 Belmont
- 3 Boston
- 4 Brookline
- 5 Chelsea
- 6 Everett
- 7 Framingham
- 8 Lexington
- 9 Lynnfield Water District
- 10 Malden
- 11 Marblehead
- 12 Medford
- 13 Melrose
- 14 Milton
- 15 Nahant
- 16 Newton
- 17 Norwood
- 18 Quincy

- 19 Reading
- 20 Revere
- 21 Saugus
- 22 Somerville
- 23 Southborough

- 24 Stoneham
- 25 Swampscott
- 26 Waltham
- 27 Watertown
- 28 Weston
- 29 Winthrop

Metro Boston and MetroWest Communities  
Served by the Carroll Water Treatment Plant

Chicopee Valley Aqueduct Communities  
served by the Brutsch Treatment Facility

### Partially Supplied (metro)

- 1 Ashland
- 2 Bedford
- 3 Canton
- 4 Dedham (D-W Water District)
- 5 Marlborough
- 6 Needham
- 7 Northborough
- 8 Peabody
- 9 Stoughton
- 10 Wakefield
- 11 Wellesley
- 12 Westwood (D-W Water District)
- 13 Wilmington
- 14 Winchester
- 15 Woburn
- 16 Cambridge (occasionally)
- 17 Lynn (G.E. Plant only)

### Fully Supplied CVA System

- 1 Chicopee
- 2 South Hadley FD #1
- 3 Wilbraham

### Raw Water

- 1 Clinton
- 2 Leominster (only in emergency)
- 3 Worcester (occasionally)

### Miscellaneous Non-Community Users

- 1 Hanscom Air Base (via  
Lexington and Bedford)
- 2 Westover AFB (via Chicopee)
- 3 Westborough State Hospital  
(PWS, direct connection to  
CWTP)
- 4 Deer Island WWTP (NTNC  
PWS)

29 fully supplied (metro Boston/MetroWest)  
16 partially supplied (metro)  
3 fully supplied CVA  
3 raw water  
4 Misc. Non-Community

Total Community count is 51  
Excluding from the count Hanscom, Westover,  
Westborough SH and Deer Island

## Appendix G: MassDEP Model Water Use Restriction Bylaw/Ordinance

DEP STRONGLY ADVISES YOU TO CONSULT WITH YOUR TOWN OR CITY COUNSEL TO ENSURE ADOPTION OF AN APPROPRIATE, ENFORCEABLE AND LEGALLY VALID BY-LAW THAT WILL MEET YOUR MUNICIPAL AND/OR DISTRICT NEEDS.

The terms “town” and “bylaw” used throughout this document are intended also to refer to cities and ordinances, respectively. References to Boards of Water Commissioners throughout this model bylaw should be edited by particular cities and towns or water districts or boards to accurately describe the municipal department or water district or board having authority and responsibility for the operation and maintenance of the public water supply.

### **2018 DEP MODEL OUTDOOR WATER USE BY-LAW/ORDINANCE**

**Includes optional language for specific restrictions during drought, regulating private wells and/or inground irrigation systems**

#### **Section 1: Authority**

This By-law is adopted by the Town [or Water District]<sup>33</sup> under its police powers pursuant to the Home Rule Amendment of the Massachusetts Constitution, Article LXXXIX, to protect public health and welfare and pursuant to its powers under M.G.L. c. 40, §§ 21 *et. seq.* and implements the Town’s authority to regulate water use pursuant to M.G.L. c. 41, § 69B. This by-law also implements the Town’s [or Water District’s] authority under M.G.L. c. 40, § 41A, conditioned upon a declaration of water supply emergency issued by the Department of Environmental Protection under G.L. c. 21G, §§ 15-17. This by-law is also intended to implement other water conservation requirements of M.G.L. c. 21G, the “Massachusetts Water Management Act” and its regulations promulgated at 310 CMR 36.00.

#### **Section 2: Purpose**

The purpose of this by-law is to protect, preserve and maintain the public health, safety, welfare and the environment whenever there is in force a “State of Water Supply Conservation”, a “State of Drought” or a “State of Water Supply Emergency” by ensuring an adequate supply of water for drinking and fire protection and to protect the quality and quantity of water in local aquatic habitats such as ponds, rivers and wetlands. This purpose will be accomplished by providing for the imposition and enforcement of any duly implemented restrictions, requirements, provisions or conditions on water use imposed by the Town [or Water District] in accordance with this by-law and/or by the Department of Environmental Protection under its state law authorities.

#### **Section 3: Applicability**

All Town [or Water District] residents that are customers of the public water supply system (and private well users<sup>33</sup>) shall be subject to this by-law. This by-law shall be in effect year round.

---

<sup>33</sup> If the public water supplier in the city or town is an independently authorized entity having its own legislative authority, that authority should be cited in this section rather than the municipal statutory authorities. See also, M.G.L. c 40N “Model Water and Sewer Reorganization Act”. The citation to M.G.L. c. 40, § 41A (“Restraint of Use of Water During Emergency”) should, however, be retained for both municipal authorities and districts. Both municipal entities and independent entities will be referred herein as “the Town or Water District”.

#### **Section 4: Definitions**

Agriculture, shall mean farming in all its branches as defined at M.G.L. c. 128, § 1A.<sup>34</sup>

Automatic irrigation system, including sprinklers, shall mean any system for watering vegetation other than a hand-held hose or a bucket.

Nonessential outdoor water use shall mean those uses that are not required:

1. for health or safety reasons;
2. by regulation;
3. for the production of food and fiber;
4. for the maintenance of livestock; or
5. to meet the core functions of a business.

Nonessential outdoor water uses that are subject to mandatory restrictions include:

- irrigation of lawns via sprinklers or automatic irrigation systems;
- washing of vehicles, except in a commercial car wash or as necessary for operator safety or to prevent damage and/or maintain performance of agricultural or construction vehicles or equipment; and
- washing of exterior building surfaces, parking lots, driveways or sidewalks, except as necessary to apply paint, preservatives, stucco, pavement or cement.

Exceptions to nonessential outdoor water uses are:

- irrigation of lawns, gardens, flowers and ornamental plants by means of a hand-held hose controlled by a nozzle or a drip-irrigation system; and
- irrigation with harvested and stored stormwater runoff.

The following outdoor water uses are subject to review and approval by The Town [or Water District], through its Board of Water Commissioners [or Selectmen or Water District Commissioners] or their designee:

- irrigation of public parks and recreation fields outside the hours of 9 AM to 5 PM;
- irrigation to establish replanted or resodded lawn or plantings during the months of May and September;
- irrigation of newly planted lawns (seeded or sodded) in the current calendar year for homes or businesses newly constructed in the previous twelve months; and
- filling of privately owned outdoor pools.

Person shall mean any individual, corporation, trust, partnership, association, agency or authority, or other entity and any officer, employee, group or agent of such persons.

---

<sup>34</sup> This statutory definition includes cultivation of the soil, dairying and the production, cultivation, growing and harvesting of agricultural, aquacultural, floricultural or horticultural commodities as well as forest harvesting, raising livestock inclusive of bees and fur-bearing animals and forestry, lumbering, preparation for market, delivery to storage or market or to carriers to market incidental to an agricultural operation.

State of Drought shall mean a Drought Advisory, Watch, Warning or Emergency declared by the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs in consultation with the Massachusetts Drought Management Task Force.

State of Water Supply Emergency shall mean a State of Water Supply Emergency declared by the Department of Environmental Protection under M.G.L. c. 21G, §§ 15-17.

State of Water Supply Conservation shall mean a State of Water Supply Conservation declared by the Town [or Water District] pursuant to Section 5 of this by-law.

Water Customers shall mean all persons using the public water supply irrespective of that person's responsibility for payment for use of the water.

Water Users shall mean all persons using the public water supply or using privately-owned wells within the Town [or Water District] boundaries.<sup>35</sup>

### **Section 5: Declaration of a State of Water Supply Conservation**

The Town [or Water District], through its Board of Water Commissioners [or Selectmen or Water District Commissioners] or their designee authorized to act as such:

- a) may declare a State of Water Supply Conservation upon a determination that conservation measures are appropriate to ensure an adequate supply of water for drinking and fire protection, to protect the quality and quantity of water in local aquatic habitats such as ponds, rivers and wetlands; and
- b) shall declare a State of Water Supply Conservation as necessary to ensure compliance with the Water Management Act.

Upon notification to the public that a State of Water Supply Conservation has been declared, no water customer [water user] shall violate any provision, restriction, requirement or condition of the declaration. The Water Commissioners may designate the [Water Department Superintendent, Town Manager, DPW Director] to declare a State of Water Supply Conservation at any time that conditions warrant. Public notice of a State of Water Conservation shall be given under Section 9 of this by-law before it may be enforced. The applicable restrictions, conditions or requirements shall be included in the public notice.

---

<sup>35</sup> This model by-law has been drafted to provide the option for a Town or Water District to capture the use of privately-owned wells for nonessential outdoor water use. If you do not intend to include privately-owned wells, strike the "water users" definition and replace the term "water users" with "water customers" wherever applicable.

If you intend to include privately-owned wells during times of drought only, include the definitions of both "water customers" and "water users", and use the terms as applicable. If you intend to include both water supply system customers and private well users at all times, strike the "water customers" definition and use the term "water users" throughout.

## **Section 6: State of Drought<sup>36</sup>**

Upon notification to the public that a State of Drought has been declared, no water customer [water user] shall violate any provision, restriction, requirement or condition of the Town's [Water District's] water use restrictions. Public notice of a State of Drought shall be given under Section 9 of this by-law before it may be enforced. The applicable restrictions, conditions or requirements shall be included in the public notice.

## **Section 7: Declaration of a State of Water Supply Emergency**

Upon notification to the public that a declaration of a State of Water Supply Emergency has been issued by the Department of Environmental Protection, no person shall violate any provision, restriction, requirement, condition of any order approved or issued by the Department for the purpose of bringing about an end to the State of Water Supply Emergency. Public notice of a State of Water Supply Emergency shall be given under Section 9 of this by-law before it may be enforced. The applicable restrictions, conditions or requirements shall be included in the public notice.

## **Section 8: Restricted Water Uses<sup>37</sup>**

A declaration of a State of Water Supply Conservation, State of Drought or State of Water Supply Emergency shall include one or more of the following restrictions, conditions, or requirements limiting nonessential outdoor water use by water customers (water users) as necessary to control the volume of water pumped each day, except as provided as acceptable in Section 4. The applicable restrictions, conditions or requirements shall be included in the public notice required under Section 9.

- a) Nonessential outdoor water use days: Nonessential outdoor water use is permitted only on the days per week specified in the State of Water Supply Conservation, State of Drought or a State of Water Supply Emergency and public notice thereof. During a State of Water Supply Conservation, nonessential outdoor water use is restricted as necessary to ensure compliance with the Water Management Act, or for a Town or Water District without a Water Management Act permit, to two days or fewer per week.
- b) Nonessential outdoor water use hours: Nonessential outdoor water use is permitted only during the hourly periods specified in the State of Water Supply Conservation, State of Drought or State of Water Supply Emergency and public notice thereof. At a minimum, nonessential outdoor water use is prohibited during the hours from 9AM to 5PM.
- c) Nonessential outdoor water use method restriction: Nonessential outdoor water use is restricted to a bucket or hand-held hose controlled by a nozzle.
- d) Nonessential outdoor water use ban: Nonessential outdoor water use is prohibited at all times.
- e) Automatic irrigation systems, including sprinklers: The use of automatic irrigation systems is prohibited.

---

<sup>36</sup> Section 6: State of Drought has been included in this model by-law to provide the option:

- a) to capture the use of privately-owned wells specifically during times of drought when recharge to municipal water sources may be impacted by private well withdrawals, and/or
- b) to provide the option of instituting more stringent restrictions during times of drought for water customers and private well users through inclusion of additional restrictions in the required Public Notice of a State of Drought.

If you do not intend to institute specific restrictions during times of drought, strike the State of Drought Definition, State of Drought section, and references to "State of Drought" wherever applicable.

<sup>37</sup> Many Water Management permits include specific language restricting outdoor water uses. Each town, city or district should consult their Water Management permit to ensure consistency with permit requirements.

## **Section 9: Public Notification and Notification of DEP**

- a) Public Notification of a State of Water Supply Conservation or a State of Drought – Notice to the public of all provisions, including all restrictions, requirements and conditions imposed by the Town [Water District] as part of a State of Water Supply Conservation or a State of Drought shall be made as soon as possible, but no later than 48 hours following the declaration of a State Water Supply Conservation or State of Drought by publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the Town and by signage on major roadways or intersections. Notification may also include email, Web sites, public service announcements on local media, reverse 911 calls or other such means reasonably calculated to reach and inform all water customers [water users].
- b) Public Notification of a State of Water Supply Emergency – Notice to the public of all provisions, including all restrictions, requirements and conditions imposed by a State of Water Supply Emergency declared by the Department shall be made as soon as possible, but not later than 48 hours after the public water system receives notice of the Department’s declaration of a State of Water Supply Emergency, by publication in a newspaper of general circulation with the Town and by signage on major roadways or intersections. Notification may also include email, Web sites, public service announcements on local media, reverse 911 calls or other such means reasonably calculated to reach and inform all water customers [water users] of the State of Water Supply Emergency.
- c) Any restriction imposed pursuant to Section 5 or Section 6 or in the Department’s State of Water Supply Emergency or Order shall not be effective until notification to the public is provided.
- d) Notification of DEP: Submittal of MassDEP’s form “Notification of Water Use Restriction” shall be provided to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection within 14 days of the effective date of the restrictions, per MassDEP regulations (310 CMR 22.15(8)).

## **Section 10: Termination of a State of Water Supply Conservation; Notice**

A State of Water Supply Conservation may be terminated by a majority vote of the Board of Water Commissioners or by decision of their designee upon a determination by either or both of them that the conditions requiring the State of Water Supply Conservation no longer exist, or in accordance with the Water Management Act permit conditions. Public notification of the termination of a State of Water Supply Conservation shall be given in the same manner as is required in Section 9a for notice of its imposition.

## **Section 11: Termination of a State of Drought; Notice**

Upon notification to the Town [Water Commissioners or their designee or to the Water District] that the declaration of a State of Drought has been terminated by the Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs, the public will be notified of the termination in the same manner as is required in Section 9a for notice of its imposition.

## **Section 12: Termination of a State of Water Supply Emergency; Notice**

Upon notification to the Town [to the Water Commissioners or their designee or to the Water District] that the declaration of a State of Water Supply Emergency has been terminated by the Department of Environmental Protection, the public will be notified of the termination in the same manner as is required in Section 9b for notice of its imposition.

## **Section 13: Penalties**



The Town [or Water District] through its Water Commissioners or their designee including the water superintendent, building inspector and/or local police may enforce this by-law. Any person violating this by-law shall be liable to the Town in the amounts listed below:

- 1) First violation: Warning
- 2) Second violation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) Third violation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Fourth and subsequent violations: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Each day of violation shall constitute a separate offense. Fines shall be recovered by complaint before the District Court, or by non-criminal disposition in accordance with section 21D of chapter 40 of the general laws. For purposes of non-criminal disposition, the enforcing person shall be any police officer of the town or the water superintendent or the superintendent's designee. If a State of Water Supply Emergency has been declared the Water Commissioners may, in accordance with G.L. c. 40, § 41A, shut off the water at the meter or the curb stop.

#### **Section 14: Severability**

The invalidity of any portion or provision of this by-law shall not invalidate any other portion or provision thereof.

#### **Section 15: Controls on In-Ground Irrigation Systems<sup>38</sup>**

##### Subsection XX.1 Registration and Installation

- a) All new and existing in-ground irrigation systems shall be registered with the Town's Board of Water Commissioners in such form and manner as they shall determine. A fee may be charged for this registration. Registration fees shall be set by the Board of Water Commissioners.<sup>39</sup> The Board may require inspection of the irrigation system.
- b) All in-ground irrigation systems shall be equipped with a timing device that can be set to make the system conform to the Town's [or Water District's] nonessential outdoor water use restrictions. Whenever outdoor water use restrictions are in force, the timing device must be set to conform to the daily and hourly nonessential outdoor water use restrictions.
- c) All in-ground irrigation systems shall be plumbed so that a shutoff valve is located outside the building and situated so that it may be shut off if found to be in violation of this by-law. For the purposes of this section only, Police Officers of the Town and/or Agents of the Board of Water Commissioners may enter upon any property to enforce this section.<sup>40</sup>

##### Subsection XX.2 Soil Moisture-Sensor Devices

---

<sup>38</sup> This section is intended to govern automatic irrigation systems and may be passed as part of a water use restriction bylaw or separately. It is included here for convenience.

<sup>39</sup> Be sure that fees charged avoid characterization as a tax under the principles of Emerson College vs. City of Boston, 391 Mass. 415, 424-426 (1984) by ensuring that they are directly related to and are used to pay for the services provided (inspection, etc.).

<sup>40</sup> Depending on the circumstances of a particular case, a warrant may also be required to enter onto private property.

- a) All in-ground irrigation systems installed in the Town [or Water District] after the date of effect of this bylaw shall be equipped with a soil moisture-sensor device, approved by the Board of Water Commissioners, to prevent the system from starting automatically when not needed. Proof of this installation shall be provided to the Board of Water Commissioners at the time of registration.
- b) Any service or repair to an existing in-ground irrigation system shall include the installation of an approved moisture-sensor device, if the same is not already installed and in good working condition. Proof of this installation shall be provided to the Board of Water Commissioners at the time of installation.
- c) The Board of Water Commissioners shall maintain a list, available to the public, of approved soil moisture-sensor devices.

Subsection XX.3 Backflow Prevention

- a) All in-ground irrigation systems connected to the municipal water system in the Town [or Water District] shall be protected from backflow events by the installation of a backflow prevention device approved by the Board of Water Commissioners. Each backflow prevention device shall be registered with the Board of Water Commissioners. [A fee may be charged for this registration. Registration fees shall be set by the Board of Water Commissioners.]
- b) The Board of Water Commissioners shall maintain a list, available to the public, of approved backflow prevention devices. Refer to Table 22-1 in 310 CMR 22.22 for the recommended backflow protection for irrigation systems.
- c) Each backflow prevention device shall be installed in accordance with 310 CMR 22.22 and the manufacturer's instructions. Each device shall be tested upon its installation and annually thereafter. A Massachusetts Certified Backflow Device Tester shall perform all testing. Copies of results of all testing shall be filed with the Board of Water Commissioners or Water Department.

## Appendix H: Massachusetts Drought History Summary

### Droughts in Massachusetts Based on Instrumental Records

This table reflects only the time period of recorded history going back to the late 1800s. Newby et. al. (2014) reconstructed centennial and longer paleohydrologic changes in the Northeastern United States. They concluded that the condition of water resources historically experienced are at high water levels relative to pre-recorded history and there is a low probability of these "wet" conditions remaining at current levels in coming decades and centuries.

| Date                | Area affected   | Recurrence interval (years) | Remarks   | Reference     |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| 1879-83             | –   | –                           | Kinnison 1931 referenced these periods as two of three worst droughts on record in 1931, the third being the then current drought of 1929-1932. | Kinnison 1931 |
| 1908-12             | –   | –                           |   |               |
| 1929-32             | Statewide   | 10 to >50                   | Water-supply sources altered in 13 communities. Multistate.   | USGS 1989     |
| 1939-44             | Statewide   | 15 to >50                   | More severe in eastern and extreme western Massachusetts. Multistate.   | USGS 1989     |
| 1957-59             | Statewide   | 5 to 25                     | Record low water levels in observation wells, northeastern Massachusetts.   | USGS 1989     |
| 1961-69             | Statewide   | 35 to >50                   | Water-supply shortages common. Record drought. Multistate.  | USGS 1989     |
| 1980-83             | Statewide   | 10 to 30                    | Most severe in Ipswich and Taunton River basins; minimal effect in Nashua River basin. Multistate.  | USGS 1989     |
| 1985-88             | Housatonic River Basin                                  | 25                          | Duration and severity as yet unknown. Streamflow showed mixed trends elsewhere.   | USGS 1989     |
| 1995                | –   | –                           | Based on statewide average precipitation  | DMP 2013      |
| 1998-1999           | –   | –                           | Based on statewide average precipitation  | DMP 2013      |
| Dec 2001 - Jan 2003 | Statewide   | –                           | Level 2 drought (out of 4 levels) was reached statewide for several months  | DCR 2017      |
| Oct 2007 - Mar 2008 | Statewide except West and Cape & Islands regions        | -                           | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   | DCR 2017      |
| Aug 2010 - Nov 2010 | Connecticut River Valley, Central and Northeast regions | –                           | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   | DCR 2017      |
| Oct 2014 - Nov 2014 | Southeast and Cape & Islands regions                    | –                           | Level 1 drought (out of 4 levels)   | DCR 2017      |
| Jul 2016 - Apr 2017 | Statewide   | –                           | Level 3 drought (out of 4 levels)   | DCR 2017      |

Notes: – means data is not available

Kinnison, H.B. 1931. The 1929-1930 Drought in New England. *Journal of the New England Water Works Association*, v. 45, no. 2, p. 145-163. Kinnison compared runoff for the three periods from two regulated lake basins; runoff during the 1908-12 and 1929-30 droughts was about equal to and less than the runoff during the 1879-83 drought. Later analysis indicated that the 1929-30 drought extended for two more years and thus became the 1929-32 drought.

Newby, P.E.; Shuman, B.N.; Donnelly, J.P.; Karnauskas, K.B.; Marsicek, J. 2014. Centennial-to-millennial hydrologic trends and variability along the North Atlantic Coast, USA, during the Holocene. *Geophysical Research Letters*: 4300–4307  
(<https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2014GL060183>)

USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 1989. Water-Supply Paper 2375: National Water Summary 1988-89--Floods and Droughts: Massachusetts (<https://md.water.usgs.gov/publications/wsp-2375/ma/>). USGS 1989 determined dry periods from streamflow and precipitation records. Dry periods that exceeded a recurrence interval of 10 years were deemed droughts.

DMP 2013: Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs and Massachusetts Emergency Management Agency. 2013. Massachusetts Drought Management Plan. The plan analyzed precipitation data as a statewide average of stations.

DCR 2017: The Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) compiled data based on historical drought declarations by the state using the methods in the 2013 Massachusetts Drought Management Plan. This compilation can be found here:  
<https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2017/09/08/drought-status-history.pdf>.



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS  
100 Cambridge Street, Suite 900, Boston, MA 02114

September, 2019